



Peer Community In Paleontology

Through a glass darkly, but with more understanding of arthropod origin

Tae-Yoon Park based on peer reviews by **Jean Vannier** ? and **Gerhard Scholtz**

Cédric Aria (2021) The origin and early evolution of arthropods. PaleorXiv, ver. 4, peer-reviewed and recommended by Peer Community in Paleontology.

[10.31233/osf.io/4zmey](https://doi.org/10.31233/osf.io/4zmey)

Submitted: 26 April 2021, Recommended: 19 August 2021

Cite this recommendation as:

Park, T.-Y. (2021) Through a glass darkly, but with more understanding of arthropod origin. *Peer Community in Paleontology*, 100009. [10.24072/pci.paleo.100009](https://doi.org/10.24072/pci.paleo.100009)

Published: 19 August 2021

Copyright: This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Arthropods constitute 85% of all described animal species on Earth (Brusca et al., 2016), being the most successful animal phylum at present. This phylum did not even have a shabby beginning. The fossil record shows that they were dominating the sea even in the early Cambrian (Caron and Jackson, 2008; Zhao et al., 2014; Fu et al., 2019). This planet is and has been indeed dominated by arthropods. Within the context of the Big Bang of animal evolution known as the Cambrian Explosion, delving into the origin of arthropods has been one of the all-time fascinating research themes in paleontology, and discussions on the 'origin' and 'early evolution' of arthropods from the paleontological perspective have not been infrequent (e.g., Budd and Telford, 2009; Edgecombe and Legg, 2014; Daley et al., 2018; Edgecombe, 2020).

In this context, Aria (2021) provides an interesting integration of his view on arthropod origin and early evolution. Based on well-preserved Burgess Shale materials, together with other impressive researches mainly with Jean-Bernard Caron (e.g., Aria and Caron, 2015; Caron and Aria, 2017), Cédric Aria made his name known with papers searching for the stem-groups of the two major euarthropod lineages, the Mandibulata and the Chelicerata (Aria and Caron, 2017, 2019), for which (and for his subsequent researches) assembling a large dataset for arthropod phylogeny was inevitable. Subsequently, there have been several major discoveries which could improve our understanding on early arthropod evolution (e.g., Lev and Chipman, 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Zeng et al., 2020). For Cédric Aria, therefore, this timely presentation of his own perspective on the origin of early evolution of arthropods may have been preordain.

This review stands out because it discusses almost all aspects of arthropod origin and early evolution that can be possibly covered by paleontology (many, if not all, of which are still controversial). Some of his views may be considered a brave attempt. For instance, based on the widespread occurrences of suspension feeders, Aria (2021) proposes the early Cambrian "planktonic revolution," which has been associated rather with the Great Ordovician Biodiversification Event (Servais et al., 2016). Given the presence of lophotrochozoans and

echinoderms in which the presence of planktonic larvae was likely to have been one of the most inclusive features, the “early Cambrian planktonic revolution” might be plausible, but I wonder if including arthropods into the “earlier revolution” can be readily acceptable. Arthropods arose from direct-developing ancestors, and crustaceans (the main group with planktonic larvae) are pretty much derived in the arthropod phylogenetic tree. Trilobites, inarguably the best-studied Cambrian arthropods, for example, began with direct-developing benthic protaspides in the early Cambrian and Miaolingian. The first hint of planktonic protaspides appeared in the Furongian, and it was not until the Tremadocian when such indirect developing protaspides began to be widespread (Park and Kihm, 2015), which complies well with the onset of the ‘Ordovician Planktonic Revolution’ in the Furongian, as suggested by Servais et al. (2016).

But in general, this review presents well-organized views worth hearing, and since many of the points are subject to debate, this review could be a friendly manual for those who have similar views, while it could form a fresh ground to attack for those who have disparate views. One of the endless debates in arthropod research comes from the arthropod head problem (Budd, 2002), which centers on the homology of frontal-most appendages in radiodonts, megacheirans, chelicerates, and mandibulates, as well as on the hypostome-labrum complex. Based on recent interesting discoveries of early arthropods from the Chengjiang biota (Aria, 2020; Zeng et al., 2020), Aria (2021) pertinently coined a term ‘cheirae’ for the frontalmost prehensile appendages of radiodonts and megacheirans, implying homology of them. I assume that not all researchers would agree with this though, as well as with his model of hypostome/labrum complex evolution. Notorious disaccords have also occurred around the phylogenetic positions of early arthropods, such as isoxyids, megacheirans, fuxianhuiids, and artiopodans; different research groups have invariably come up with different topologies. Cédric Aria has presented his own topologies (Aria, 2019, 2020), and figure 2 of Aria (2021) summarizes his perspective very well in combination with major character evolutions.

What makes this review especially interesting is a courageous discussion about the origin of biramous appendage, a subject that has been only briefly discussed or overlooked in recent literature. In the current mainstream of this debate lies the concept of ‘gilled lobopodians’ (Budd, 1998), which was complicated by the weird two separate rows of lateral flaps of *Aegirocassis* (Van Roy et al., 2015). Aria (2021) adds an interesting alternative scenario to this: biramicity originated from the split of main limb axis, as seen in the isoxyid *Surusicaris* (Aria and Caron, 2015). The figure 3d of his review, therefore, is worth giving thoughts for any arthropodologists who are interested in the origin of arthropod legs.

It is true that our understanding of the origin and early evolution of arthropods is still in a mist. Nevertheless, we have recently seen advancements, such as those aided by new types of analysis (Liu et al., 2020), the discoveries of new early arthropods with unexpected morphologies (Aria et al., 2020; Zeng et al., 2020), and the groundbreaking Evo-Devo research (Lev and Chipman, 2020). We will keep jousting on various aspects of the origin and early evolution of arthropods, but for some aspects we are seemingly heading for the final, as implicitly alluded in Aria (2021).

References:

- Aria C (2019). Reviewing the bases for a nomenclatural uniformization of the highest taxonomic levels in arthropods. *Geological Magazine* 156, 1463–1468.
- Aria C (2020). Macroevolutionary patterns of body plan canalization in euarthropods. *Paleobiology* 46, 569–593. doi: [10.1017/pab.2020.36](https://doi.org/10.1017/pab.2020.36)
- Aria C (2021). The origin and early evolution of arthropods. *PaleorXiv*, 4zmey, ver. 4, peer-reviewed by PCI Paleo. doi: [10.31233/osf.io/4zmey](https://doi.org/10.31233/osf.io/4zmey)
- Aria C and Caron JB (2015). Cephalic and limb anatomy of a new isoxyid from the Burgess Shale and the role of “stem bivalved arthropods” in the disparity of the frontalmost appendage. *PLOS ONE* 10, e0124979. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0124979](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124979)

- Aria C and Caron JB (2017). Burgess Shale fossils illustrate the origin of the mandibulate body plan. *Nature* 545, 89–92.
- Aria C and Caron JB (2019). A middle Cambrian arthropod with chelicerae and proto-book gills. *Nature* 573, 586–589. doi: [10.1038/s41586-019-1525-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1525-4)
- Aria C, Zhao F, Zeng H, Guo J, and Zhu M (2020). Fossils from South China redefine the ancestral euarthropod body plan. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 20, 4.
- Brusca RC, Moore W, and Shuster SM (2016). *Invertebrates*. Third edition. Sunderland, Massachusetts U.S.A: Sinauer Associates. isbn: 978-1-60535-375-3
- Budd GE (1998). Stem-group arthropods from the Lower Cambrian Sirius Passet fauna of North Greenland. In: *Arthropod Relationships*. Ed. by Fortey RA and Thomas RH. London, UK: Chapman & Hall, pp. 125–138.
- Budd GE (2002). A palaeontological solution to the arthropod head problem. *Nature* 417, 271–275. doi: [10.1038/417271a](https://doi.org/10.1038/417271a)
- Budd GE and Telford MJ (2009). The origin and evolution of arthropods. *Nature* 457, 812–817. doi: [10.1038/Nature07890](https://doi.org/10.1038/Nature07890)
- Caron JB and Aria C (2017). Cambrian suspension-feeding lobopodians and the early radiation of panarthropods. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 17, 29. doi: [10.1186/s12862-016-0858-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-016-0858-y)
- Caron JB and Jackson DA (2008). Paleoeology of the Greater Phyllopod Bed community, Burgess Shale. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 258, 222–256. doi: [10.1016/j.palaeo.2007.05.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2007.05.023)
- Daley AC, Antcliffe JB, Drage HB, and Pates S (2018). Early fossil record of Euarthropoda and the Cambrian Explosion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 115, 5323–5331. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1719962115](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1719962115)
- Edgecombe GD (2020). Arthropod origins: Integrating paleontological and molecular evidence. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 51, 1–25. doi: [10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-011720-124437](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-011720-124437)
- Edgecombe GD and Legg DA (2014). Origins and early evolution of arthropods. *Palaeontology* 57, 457–468.
- Fu D, Tong G, Dai T, Liu W, Yang Y, Zhang Y, Cui L, Li L, Yun H, Wu Y, Sun A, Liu C, Pei W, Gaines RR, and Zhang X (2019). The Qingjiang biota—A Burgess Shale-type fossil Lagerstätte from the early Cambrian of South China. *Science* 363, 1338–1342. doi: [10.1126/science.aau8800](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau8800)
- Lev O and Chipman AD (2020). Development of the pre-gnathal segments of the insect head indicates they are not serial homologues of trunk segments. *bioRxiv*, 2020.09.16.299289. doi: [10.1101/2020.09.16.299289](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.16.299289)
- Liu Y, Ortega-Hernández J, Zhai D, and Hou X (2020). A reduced labrum in a Cambrian great-appendage euarthropod. *Current Biology* 30, 3057–3061.e2. doi: [10.1016/j.cub.2020.05.085](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.05.085)
- Park TYS and Kihm JH (2015). Post-embryonic development of the Early Ordovician (ca. 480 Ma) trilobite *Apatokephalus latilimbatus* Peng, 1990 and the evolution of metamorphosis. *Evolution & Development* 17, 289–301. doi: [10.1111/ede.12138](https://doi.org/10.1111/ede.12138)
- Servais T, Perrier V, Danelian T, Klug C, Martin R, Munnecke A, Nowak H, Nützel A, Vandenbroucke TR, Williams M, and Rasmussen CM (2016). The onset of the ‘Ordovician Plankton Revolution’ in the late Cambrian. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 458, 12–28. doi: [10.1016/j.palaeo.2015.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2015.11.003)

Van Roy P, Daley AC, and Briggs DEG (2015). Anomalocaridid trunk limb homology revealed by a giant filter-feeder with paired flaps. *Nature* 522, 77–80. doi: [10.1038/nature14256](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14256)

Zeng H, Zhao F, Niu K, Zhu M, and Huang D (2020). An early Cambrian euarthropod with radiodont-like raptorial appendages. *Nature* 588, 101–105. doi: [10.1038/s41586-020-2883-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2883-7)

Zhao F, Caron JB, Bottjer DJ, Hu S, Yin Z, and Zhu M (2014). Diversity and species abundance patterns of the Early Cambrian (Series 2, Stage 3) Chengjiang Biota from China. *Paleobiology* 40, 50–69. doi: [10.1666/12056](https://doi.org/10.1666/12056)

Reviews

Evaluation round #1

DOI or URL of the preprint: [10.31233/osf.io/4zmey](https://doi.org/10.31233/osf.io/4zmey)

Authors' reply, 05 July 2021

[Download author's reply](#)

Decision by **Tae-Yoon Park**, posted 11 June 2021

Dear Dr. Aria,

Your manuscript entitled “The origin and early evolution of arthropods” have been reviewed by two referees. Reviewer 1 (Dr. Gerhard Scholtz) replied with annotated pdf containing many questions, while Reviewer 2 (Dr. Jean Vannier) requested a minor revision. When submitting a revised version, please include an Author's reply which addresses the changes you have made to cope with the reviews.

- Especially I concur with Review 1 on your point about the ‘planktonic revolution.’ Although the manuscript acknowledged the evidence is still indirect, still it tries to expand the case into the arthropod larvae. As far as I know we yet to have enough evidence of ‘planktonic arthropod larvae’ in early Cambrian, except for Zhang et al. 2010 that Reviewer 2 mentioned. Earliest trilobites did not have larvae (protaspides) which undergo an indirect development, the first possibly planktonic trilobite larvae appeared in the Furongian. Those arthropod larvae documented from Chengjiang (Liu et al. 2016; Fu et al. 2018) were not indirect developers.
- The title of the manuscript has been already used by Edgecombe and Legg (2014). Rephrasing the title is recommended.

Best regards,
Tae-Yoon

Reviewed by **Jean Vannier**, 02 June 2021

See attached file.

[Download the review](#)

Reviewed by **Gerhard Scholtz**, 25 May 2021

See attached file.

[Download the review](#)