

1 **New data on morphological evolution and dietary adaptations of *Elephas recki* from**
2 **the Plio-Pleistocene Shungura Formation (Lower Omo Valley, Ethiopia)**

3
4
5 **Nouvelles données concernant l'évolution morphologique et les adaptations**
6 **alimentaires d'*Elephas recki* de la formation plio-pléistocène de Shungura (basse vallée**
7 **de l'Omo, Éthiopie)**

8
9
10 **Evolution of *Elephas recki* from the Shungura Formation**

11
12
13 **Tomas Getachew BEDANE**

14 Ethiopian Heritage Authority (EHA), Ministry of Tourism, King George VI Street, PO BOX
15 13247 Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

16 and

17 Laboratoire Paléontologie Évolution Paléoécosystèmes Paléoprimatologie, UMR 7262
18 PALEVOPRIM, Université de Poitiers & CNRS, 6 rue Michel Brunet, 86073 Poitiers
19 (France)

20 tomas.getachew.bedane@univ-poitiers.fr

Code de champ modifié

21 **Hassane Taïso Mackaye**

22 Université Polytechnique de Mongo

23 Rue de Am-Timan, Mondjino, B.P. 4377 Mongo – Tchad

24 and

25 Université de N'Djaména, faculté des sciences exactes et appliquées, département de
26 paléontologie, B.P. 1117, N'Djaména, Tchad

27 mhtaisso@gmail.com

Code de champ modifié

28 **Jean-Renaud BOISSERIE**

29 Centre Français des Études Éthiopiennes, CNRS & Ministère de l'Europe et des affaires
30 étrangères, PO BOX 5554 Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

31 and

32 Laboratoire Paléontologie Évolution Paléoécosystèmes Paléoprimatologie, UMR 7262
33 PALEVOPRIM, CNRS & Université de Poitiers, 6 rue Michel Brunet, 86073 Poitiers
34 (France)

35 jean.renaud.boisserie@univ-poitiers.fr

Code de champ modifié

37 **ABSTRACT**

38 The abundant and diverse proboscideans are essential terrestrial megaherbivores for studying
39 morphological adaptations and reconstructing paleoenvironments in Africa. This new study of the
40 lineage of *Elephas recki* from the Plio-Pleistocene deposits of the Shungura Formation aimed at
41 investigating further the interplay between morphological and behavioral adaptations within
42 proboscideans. For this, we used the most complete and well-preserved third molars of the series
43 for characterizing morphological changes, while describing dietary behavior by applying
44 mesowear angle analysis to all identifiable cheek teeth. Biometric character changes indicated that
45 evolutionary change was not a gradual, progressive trend through the Shungura sequence
46 deposition. Rather, we observed a complex trend involving sudden changes. The mesowear angle
47 analysis suggested that *Elephas recki* from the Shungura Formation had consistently grazing
48 dietary habits throughout its evolution, except for some slight tendencies of mixed browse/graze
49 diet in certain time intervals. We therefore did not observe any correlation between morphological
50 change and feeding preferences over two million years. This delay between the acquisition of the
51 observed diet and purportedly consequent morphological changes questions the classical
52 interpretations of factors at play in this evolutionary sequence.

53

54 **Keywords:** *Elephas recki*, Enamel thickness, Hypsodonty index, Mesowear, Shungura Formation

Commenté [MOU1]: Proboscideans are neither abundant nor diverse today, but they comprise a appreciable and palaeoenvironmentally significant component of the Cenozoic mammalian fossil record!

55 **RÉSUMÉ**

56 Les proboscidiens, un groupe abondant et diversifié de mégaherbivores terrestres, revêtent une
57 grande importance dans l'étude des adaptations morphologiques et dans la reconstruction des
58 paléoenvironnements en Afrique. Cette nouvelle étude de la lignée *Elephas recki* des dépôts plio-
59 pléistocènes de la Formation de Shungura a pour objectif d'investiguer plus avant les interrelations
60 entre adaptations morphologiques et adaptations comportementales au sein des proboscidiens.
61 Pour cela, nous utilisons les troisièmes molaires les plus complètes et les mieux préservées de cette
62 série pour caractériser les changements morphologiques, tout en décrivant le comportement
63 alimentaire en appliquant l'analyse angulaire des méso-usures à toutes les dents identifiables. Les
64 variations des caractères biométriques indiquent une évolution différant d'une tendance graduelle
65 et progressive pendant le dépôt de la séquence de Shungura. Au lieu de cela, nous observons une
66 tendance complexe impliquant des changements abrupts. L'analyse angulaire des méso-usures
67 suggère qu'*Elephas recki* de la Formation de Shungura avait un comportement alimentaire
68 invariable de paisseur, à l'exception de légères tendances à une alimentation mixte
69 paisseur/brouteur à certains moments. Nous n'avons donc observé aucune corrélation entre
70 changement morphologique et préférences alimentaires durant plus de deux millions d'années. Ce
71 décalage entre l'acquisition du régime alimentaire observé et les changements morphologiques
72 supposément résultants remet en question les interprétations classiques concernant les facteurs à
73 l'œuvre dans cette séquence évolutionnaire.

74

75 Mots clefs : *Elephas recki*, Épaisseur de l'émail, Index d'hypsodontie, Méso-usures, Formation
76 de Shungura

77 INTRODUCTION

78

79 Proboscidea Illiger, 1811 is an **Order** of mammals that includes elephants, the largest land animals
 80 on extant Earth. Their evolutionary history is well-documented and fossils indicate **an incredible**
 81 **diversity** (Shoshani & Tassy 2005; Gheerbrant & Tassy 2009). Most of their evolution occurred in
 82 Afro-Eurasia, with later **migrations** to the Americas (Coppens 1978; Tassy 1988). The "true"
 83 elephants, i.e. the **Family Elephantidae**, originated in Africa during the Late Miocene (Maglio
 84 1973; Kalb *et al.* 1993), and include three emblematic lineages of extant and recently extinct
 85 **crown-group** elephantids (*Loxodonta*, *Elephas*, and *Mammuthus*). Following a steep decline
 86 during the last two million years (Cantalapiedra *et al.* 2021), there are currently only three species
 87 of elephants in the world: the Asian elephant, *Elephas maximus*, and two species found in Africa,
 88 **the savanna elephant** *Loxodonta africana*, and **the forest elephant** *Loxodonta cyclotis* (Owen-Smith
 89 1988; Roca 2001; Sukumar 2003; Sanders 2010; Sanders, 2024). Despite this relatively low
 90 diversity, these megaherbivores play particularly important roles in ecosystems (e.g., Owen-Smith
 91 1988; Fritz *et al.* 2002).

92 In the past, the study of morphological changes in African elephant fossils (prominently in their
 93 dentition) **provided** important **proxies for** biochronology **and trends of** environmental changes
 94 (Beden 1987, 1983, 1980; Saegusa & Gilbert 2008; Sanders & Haile-Selassie 2012). This
 95 contributed to the narrative of a late Neogene spread of drier environments and related biomes
 96 (prominently savanna) having a driving impact on faunal evolution, and notably on hominids
 97 (Bobe 2006; Cerling *et al.* 2013, 2011, 1997; Strömberg 2011). Further attention was latter brought
 98 to the ecology of African elephantids, notably to their isotopic ecology (e.g., Cerling *et al.* 2015,
 99 1999; Uno *et al.* 2011) alongside with other taxa. A decoupling between these morphological
 100 trends and paleoecological signals was demonstrated by Lister (2013) and Saarinen & Lister
 101 (2023) at regional scale (i.e., eastern Africa), suggesting substantial delay between environmental
 102 changes, dietary behavior, and morphological responses.

103 Our purpose is to further explore the modalities and factors of this decoupling, by investigating
 104 the evolutionary history of a well-documented, single lineage at a local scale. The rich fossil record
 105 of the chronostratigraphically well-controlled Shungura Formation (Lower Omo Valley,
 106 southwestern Ethiopia, Turkana Depression; Arambourg 1948; Howell & Coppens 1974;
 107 Boisserie *et al.* 2008) is particularly **suitable for** pursuing this objective. Our research focused on

a supprimé: order**Commenté [MOU2]:** Cantalapiedra *et al.* (2021) would also be appropriate citation here**Commenté [MOU3]:** Common mistake that I occasionally made also - dispersals are longer-term shifts in the distribution of organisms (appropriate here); whereas migrations occur on a periodic basis on the scale of an individual animal's lifespan, often in conjunction with seasonal or life history events**a supprimé:** f**a supprimé:** living in the bush or savanna**a supprimé:** in the forest**a supprimé:** has been**a supprimé:** in**a supprimé:** and used to approximate**a supprimé:** adapted to**a supprimé:** c

117 *Elephas recki*, the most abundant proboscidean in the Shungura Formation, commonly found in
 118 the Plio-Pleistocene paleontological sites of eastern Africa (Maglio 1972, 1973; Gilbert & Asfaw
 119 2008). The remains of *Elephas recki* from Shungura are considered as essential in establishing a
 120 reliable biochronological framework for the region as well as for paleoenvironmental
 121 reconstructions, given that they display considerable modifications of the craniodental apparatus
 122 seemingly in response to the spread of more open environments and greater competition for
 123 grazing resources (Maglio 1973; Cerling *et al.* 1999; Sanders & Haile-Selassie 2012).

124

125

126 MATERIAL AND METHODS

127

128 FOSSIL SAMPLE

129 The fossils examined in this study were collected from the Shungura Formation by the
 130 International Omo Research Expedition (IORE, 1967-1976) and the Omo Group Research
 131 Expedition (OGRE, since 2006). The Plio-Pleistocene deposits of the Shungura Formation are
 132 well-known for their almost continuous stratigraphic sequence, i.e. ca. 800 m of sediments of
 133 fluvio-lacustrine and volcanic origins divided into 12 geological members (from the lowermost to
 134 the uppermost levels: Basal, A to H, and J to L) subdivided into variable numbers of units (e.g.,
 135 12 for Member B, 5 for Member F) corresponding generally to sedimentological cycles (Heinzelin,
 136 1983). Each member was defined by a widespread volcanic ash at its base (except for the Basal
 137 Member), and many other volcanic layers are found within members. The chronology of this
 138 Formation is one of the best known thanks to radiochronology (K/Ar and $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ methods) and
 139 magnetostratigraphy, indicating a time span from 3.75 Ma to 1.09 Ma (Fig. 1A; see recent age
 140 model in Gardin *et al.* 2024 and references herein). Thanks to these features, the Shungura record
 141 is particularly suitable for tracking the evolution, paleoecology and environmental context of
 142 various vertebrate groups at community-level (Bobe & Eck 2001; Alemseged 2003; Bobe 2011).

143 In the Shungura stratigraphic sequence, elephantid fossils are abundant and constitute a
 144 significant proportion of the collected mammals (Coppens 1978; Beden 1980; Alemseged *et al.*
 145 2003, 2007). There are at least five defineable taxa known from Shungura, including *Elephas*
 146 *ekorensis*, *Loxodonta exoptata*, *Loxodonta adaurora*, and *Loxodonta atlantica*. The fifth one,
 147 "*Elephas recki*", is the most common, comprising 98 % of elephantid specimens (Coppens 1978).

Commenté [MOU4]: Zhang (2020) would be a suitable citation here

a supprimé: f

a supprimé: by

a supprimé: studying

a supprimé: , as well as their

a supprimé: paleoecology and the contemporary environments ...

a supprimé: fossil

a supprimé: species

156 Based notably on the Shungura record, Beden (1980) divided *E. recki* into five subgroups recorded
157 throughout the stratigraphic sequence of the Shungura Formation (Fig. 1B,D) and were exclusively
158 identified through changes in cheek tooth morphology (Beden 1980). The same author later refined
159 their stratigraphic occurrences (Beden 1987): *E. recki brumpti* (members A and B), *E. recki*
160 *shungurensis* (members C to F), *E. recki atavus* (members F and G), *E. recki ileretensis* (from
161 Member K to lower Member L, til Unit L-4 included, and possibly also from Member J), and *E.*
162 *recki recki* (upper Member L, from Unit L-5 to top).

163 There is an on-going debate about the systematic biology of the "Elephas recki complex" (Todd
164 2005; Zhang 2020; Sanders 2024). The disagreement is specifically about whether the earliest and
165 youngest subspecies should be placed within the genus *Elephas* or, instead, within
166 *Phanagoroloxodon* and *Palaeoloxodon*, respectively. Based on cranial morphopology, Saegusa &
167 Gilbert (2008) and Zhang (2020) advocated for relating *E. recki ileretensis* and *E. recki recki*
168 within *Palaeoloxodon* (a subgenus of *Elephas* for the former authors, a genus on its own for the
169 latter). However, this view remains discussed in the literature (Sanders 2024). For this paper,
170 which purpose is not to test conflicting taxonomic hypotheses, we choose to retain the classical
171 use of subspecific divisions within *Elephas recki*. We note however that subspecies is a term more
172 relevant to geographic variations within a species than to evolutionary stages, and that the
173 subspecies of *E. recki* are more adequately viewed as arbitrary, practical temporal stages
174 displaying marked overlaps (see Sanders 2024 for a more thorough discussion).

175 In our study, we also focused on analyzing only well-preserved molars of *Elephas recki*. Indeed,
176 mammalian teeth are highly resistant to weathering, which makes them common skeletal remains
177 found in the fossil record. They provide vital information about morphology, past dietary habits,
178 vegetation, environmental conditions, and climate (Beden 1980; Maglio 1973; Saarinen *et al.*
179 2015; Sanders 2010; Ungar 2010). As mammalian teeth are abundant, most research on
180 mammalian dietary studies has relied mainly on the analysis of molar teeth.

181 For biometric and dental wear analyses, we utilized a total of 140 lower and upper molars,
182 including five pairs of antimeres for which average values were used (Table S4). We used a total
183 of 89 lower and upper third molars for biometric analysis, and 86 diagnosable cheek teeth for
184 dental wear analysis (Fig. 1C). Among these, 33 samples were analyzed in combination with both
185 methods. Upper and lower molars display statistically similar values for biometric features and
186 mesowear analysis, as stated in the supplementary information (Tables S1-S3).


a supprimé: se

a supprimé: systematics

a supprimé: attributed within

190 The specimens for our study were selected based on the quality of preservation. We only chose
191 molars that had good preservation quality for both methods. Molars were chosen from all members
192 of the Shungura Formation, except for the Basal Member and Member A, as no well-preserved
193 fossil evidence was available from these members. We also excluded molars from members H
194 and J due to poor preservation quality of relatively few samples. This created a further gap of
195 approximately 355 thousand years. A similar gap is present in our mesowear sample, with an
196 additional lack of coverage for the approximately 170 thousand years represented by the upper
197 part of Member G.

198




199 FIG. 1. – A, chronostratigraphic composite column of the Shungura Formation following the stratigraphic
 200 and radiochronological work described by Heinzelin (1983) and with ages derived from (Feibel *et al.* 1989;
 201 Brown *et al.* 2006; McDougall and Brown, 2008; McDougall *et al.* 2012; Kidane *et al.* 2014); B, distribution
 202 of the subspecies of the lineages of *E. recki* through the members of the Shungura Formation and
 203 corresponding numbers of analyzed specimens; C, sample sizes of analyzed molars from each member; D,
 204 morphological and biometric changes over time exemplified in lateral (bottom) and occlusal (top) views
 205 for, from top to bottom, *Elephas recki brumpti* (OMO 3/0-1974-961, M³ from B-12), *E. recki atavus*
 206 (OMO 75-1969-3196, M₃ from G-4 to G-13), and *E. recki recki* (OMO K 7-1969-3204, M³ from Unit L-
 207 9). Scale bar is 10 cm for all.

208 METHODS

209 Given our interest for dental morphological evolution in response to dietary change, we selected
 210 two continuous features commonly used to depict dental evolution through time and which
 211 changes are interpreted as morphological adaptations to dietary changes (Maglio 1973; Beden
 212 1987, 1980): hypsodonty index and enamel thickness (Fig. 2A-B). We compared them to
 213 mesowear angle analysis (Fig. 2C), a recently developed proxy of dietary behavior in
 214 proboscideans (Saarinen *et al.* 2015).

215



216 Fig. 2. – Measurements acquired from teeth of *Elephas recki* from the Shungura Formation. **A**,
 217 measurements involved in the calculation of the hypsodonty index (HI); **B**, measurement of the enamel
 218 thickness (ET) on sketched occlusal surface of molar plates; **C**, measurements of mesowear angles (dentin
 219 valley angle, in white on the sketch) between ridge of enamel bands (in black) plates of a molar.
 220
 221

222 *Hypsodonty Index (HI)*

223 This index (height/width of the crown $\times 100$) is a measure of the relative crown height of elephantid
 224 molars, which increase through time has been related to increasing abrasiveness of ingested food.

225 Using this ratio helps to eradicate the issues of individual size differences that would make it
 226 difficult to compare relative crown height in any acceptable meaningful way (Maglio, 1973).

227 Arambourg (1938) was the first to develop a method to quantify this biometric characteristic and
 228 then later Cooke (1947) modified this index by multiplying the height-to-width ratio by 100, as
 229 described in Maglio's monograph (1973). The height of a molar crown should be measured parallel
 230 to the vertical axis of the plate or loph(id), from the base of the enamel covering to the apex of the

Commenté [MOU5]: What about width?

231 tallest pillar and the width of a molar should be measured across its widest plate or lophid,
232 including cementum (see Fig. 2A).

233

234 *Enamel Thickness (ET)*

235 The thickness of molar enamel is a reliable biometric characteristic for studying the evolution of
236 elephantid molars, as noted by Beden (1980) and Maglio (1973). We followed the procedure
237 suggested by Maglio (1973). This involves taking several measurements from different locations
238 on the occlusal surface of a worn enamel band of multiple plates of a molar (series of worn enamel
239 figures of plates along the molar). A minimum of three measurements is recommended on a single
240 enamel band (see Fig. 2B), after which we calculate the average of those measurements.

241

242 *Mesowear analyses*

243 To investigate the dietary preferences within our elephantid sample, we used a relatively a new
244 technique introduced by Saarinen *et al.* (2015). This technique consists in using the macroscopic
245 relief and shape of worn molar surfaces, which, according to the seminal work by Fortelius &
246 Solounias (2000), can serve as an indicator of the relative amount of abrasive plant material,
247 primarily grass, consumed by herbivorous mammals. The Mean Mesowear Angle has been
248 calculated for each molar sample by taking the average angle from the dentine valleys of three
249 blades, following the method outlined by Saarinen *et al.* in 2015 (see Fig. 2C). However, we have
250 also made a modification to the sampling method by measuring two blades from a single molar. A
251 t-test was conducted on some of the representative individual measurement, but no significant
252 difference was found (see Supplemental materials Table S3A-C and associated information). This
253 modification is useful for including smaller specimen fragments with well-preserved dental
254 surfaces, which can help to increase the sample size.

255

256 *Statistics*

257 We used both parametric and non-parametric statistical tests to analyze our data. To analyze the
258 variations in biometric and MWA values within *E. recki* across the Shungura sequence we
259 conducted several statistical tests including the Mann-Whitney test to assess whether the
260 differences in the median values of the biometric characters (HI and ET) across Shungura members
261 are statistically significant. Secondly, we utilized One-way ANOVAs to examine the variation

a supprimé: Elephantidae

Commenté [MOU6]: Not sure title capital spelling is
merited here. Saarinen *et al.* (2015) didn't use capital letters
when introducing this part of the procedure

a supprimé: material

264 among the MWA values throughout the Shungura sequence. Additionally, to investigate the
265 differences between lower and upper molars, we carried out a t-test on both biometric and MWA
266 values, showing no significant differences (Tables S1-S3). Finally, we employed Ordinary Least
267 Square (OSL) linear regression and Pearson's correlation analysis tests to evaluate the relationship
268 between biometric evolution and dietary adaptation.

269

270 ABBREVIATIONS

271	ET	Enamel thickness
272	HI	Hypsodonty index
273	MWA	Mesowear Angle analysis
274	LG	lower part of Member G (units G-1 to G-13)
275	LL	lower part of Member L (units L-1 to L-4)
276	UG	upper part of Member G (units G-14 to G-29)
277	UL	upper part of Member L (units L-5 to L-9)

278

279

280 RESULTS

281

282 HYPSONDONTY INDEX (HI)

283 Descriptive statistics for this variable are provided in Table 1 and distributions are illustrated by
284 Fig. 3. The Kruskal-Wallis test showed a significant difference ($p \ll 0.001$) between HI median
285 values of members, suggesting the assumption that HI values increased progressively through time
286 is not accurate. Our results indicate a complex trend in the crown height of this group of
287 elephantids, which contradicts the expected gradual change over time. Instead, we observe a
288 change in the trend slope, with values for members K and L specimens much higher than expected
289 if the rate of change observed from B to G remained constant through time (Fig. 3). We also
290 conducted a Mann-Whitney multiple comparison test and found highly significant differences in
291 HI median values notably between members K and L, and between these higher members and
292 members lower in the sequence (Table 2). Other significant differences appeared between
293 members B and F, B and LG, D and F, D and LG, F and K&LL.

294


295 TABLE 1. – Statistical summary of biometric characters by members/submembers.
296

Members	B	C	D	E	F	G	K & LL	UL	
N	17	7	9	9	7	19	7	10	
HI	Min	100.8	123.8	102.4	117.5	121.4	102	154.8	183
	Max	151.3	159.6	142.5	143.1	167.4	169.5	188	239.9
	Mean	122.2	134.8	118.9	129.8	145.1	135.5	173.2	202.7
	Media	121.4	129.5	119	131.1	141	137.1	176	202
	n								
SD	14.50	12.18	13.73	8.91	18.72	17.95	11.86	16.23	
ET	Min	3.8	2.6	2.8	3	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.3
	Max	4.5	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.6	4	3.6	3
	Mean	4.12	3.15	3.27	3.19	3.24	3.37	3.01	2.60
	Media	4.22	3.20	3.35	3.18	3.21	3.40	2.99	2.60
	n								
SD	0.246	0.310	0.245	0.218	0.330	0.311	0.474	0.236	

297
298 TABLE 2. – Mann-Whitney pairwise tests significant for HI and ET- values.
299

	B	C	D	E	F	G	K & LL	UL
B		1.6E-04	3.7E-05	3.7E-05	1.6E-04	1.2E-06	1.6 E-04	2.0E-05
C	0.057		0.3875	0.957	0.746	0.084	0.701	0.005
D	0.571	0.057		0.417	0.872	0.267	0.392	8.5E-04
E	0.118	0.525	0.064		0.592	0.065	0.483	4.4E-04
F	0.010	0.224	0.015	0.112		0.292	0.442	0.003
G	0.025	0.729	0.027	0.302	0.312		0.102	4.4E-05
K & LL	1.8E-04	0.005	0.001	0.001	0.021	3.4E-04		0.076
UL	2.2E-05	7.6E-04	2.8E-04	2.8E-04	7.6E-04	1.5E-05	0.0011	

300 Lower left values: test results for HI; upper right values: test results for ET; gray shaded, and bold face
301 values are highlighting significant difference (p<0.05) and highly significant (p<0.01) between members,
302 respectively.
303




304
 305 FIG. 3. – Box plots and individual values for hypsodonty index (HI) through time in *Elephas recki* from
 306 different members of the Shungura Formation. Boxes represent the interquartile range, bar is median, and
 307 whiskers represent the lower and the upper 25 % of the data.
 308

309 ENAMEL THICKNESS (ET)

310 Descriptive statistics for this variable are provided in Table 1 and distributions are illustrated by
 311 Fig. 4. The Kruskal-Wallis test indicates that there are statistically significant differences ($p <$
 312 0.05) among ET values. Results of the Mann-Whitney pairwise test is reported in Table 2. Member
 313 B and upper part of Member L samples display mostly highly significant differences with all other
 314 samples. This is suggestive of two episodes of sudden changes in ET values, dropping from a mean
 315 value of 4.2 mm for Member B (*Elephas recki brumpti*, N=18) to a mean value of 3.25 mm for all

316 samples from C to LL (N=61). There is no overlap between Member B sample and the rest of the
 317 samples, except a limited one with the larger LG sample (N=17; Fig. 4). For the upper part of
 318 Member L (N=10), again we observed a drop in ET to a mean value of 2.6 mm, however with a
 319 less significant difference with K and LL sample than with other samples. Despite the temporal
 320 gap between Member G and Member K samples, we did not observe significant differences
 321 between them (Table 2).

322



323
 324 FIG. 4. – Box plots and individual values for enamel thickness (ET) through time in *Elephas recki* from
 325 different members of the Shungura Formation. Boxes represent the interquartile range, bar is median, and
 326 whiskers represent the lower and the upper 25 % of the data.
 327

328 MESOWEAR ANGLE (MWA)

329 Descriptive statistics for MWA are provided in Tables 3 and 4. Mesowear distributions by
 330 members and subspecies are illustrated by Fig. 5A-B. The results of the ANOVA on MWA ($F =$
 331 1.33 , $df = 7$, and $p = 0.250304$) indicated that is no significant difference between members of the
 332 Shungura Formation for this variable. Following Saarinen *et al.* (2015) who classified samples as
 333 browsers if their mean MWA is below 113° , mixed feeders if their mean MWA is between 113°
 334 and 124° , and grazers if their mean MWA is above 124° , we determined that *Elephas recki* from
 335 Shungura tend to display a predominantly grazing diet, with a tendency towards more mixed diets
 336 for members B, D, F, K, and subspecies *E. r. brumpti* and *P. r. ileretensis* (Fig. 5; Tables 3 and 4).

337

338 TABLE 3. – Statistical summary of MWA measurements by members.

339

Members	B	C	D	E	F	LG	K	L
N	8	6	8	11	5	27	8	7
Min	113.5	116.3	109.2	108.7	109.7	112.0	115.0	120.7
Max	130.0	135.0	135.0	139.5	129.3	152.3	131.0	129.0
Mean	122.30	125.66	118.61	128.78	122.34	127.98	122.90	124.30
Median	124.20	126.83	117.70	132.70	128.30	128.30	123.25	124.00
SD	6.957	8.380	8.808	9.563	9.059	10.512	4.809	2.841


340

341 TABLE 4. – Statistical summary of MWA measurements by subspecies.

342

Subspecies	<i>brumpti</i>	<i>shungurensis</i>	<i>atavus</i>	<i>ileretensis</i>	<i>recki</i>
N	8	30	27	8	7
Min	113.5	108.7	112.0	115.0	120.7
Max	130.0	139.5	152.3	131.0	129.0
Mean	122.30	124.37	127.98	122.90	124.30
Median	124.20	124.00	128.30	123.25	124.00
SD	6.957	9.176	10.548	4.809	2.841

343



344
 345 FIG. 5. – Box plots and individual values for mesowear angles (MWA) through time in *Elephas recki* from
 346 the Shungura Formation. **A**, by members; **B**, by subspecies. Boxes represent the interquartile range, bar is
 347 median, whiskers represent the lower and the upper 25 % of the data. Limits for dietary categories at 113
 348 and 124 were obtained by (Saarinen et al. 2015).
 349

350 DISCUSSION

351

352 The evolution of hypsodonty in herbivorous mammals has long been believed as one of the best
 353 examples of **a sustained macroevolution drive caused** by abrasive diets (Kaiser *et al.* 2013). This
 354 trend has well recognized in the evolutionary history of different mammalian groups (Simpson,
 355 1945). It is also an indication of convergent evolution in various clades since, considered evidence
 356 of an adaptation for an abrasive herbivorous diet generally and mainly consisting of grasses
 357 (Williams and Kay 2001; Cerling *et al.* 2011).

358 **According to** Maglio (1973), **in African representatives** of the genus *Elephas*; the rate of change
 359 in molar structure, mainly for the HI and ET, was extremely rapid. He also **highlighted** that the
 360 functional **implications** of enamel thinning is less **salient**, however, for Plio-Pleistocene elephantid
 361 lineages that had a reduced enamel thickness while being subjected to a highly abrasive diet linked
 362 to grass feeding preference.

363 Concerning the hypothesis of continuous increase in HI and reduction in ET, our results
 364 generally do not support it. In both biometric characters, no apparent progressive, continuous
 365 evolutionary changes were observed in the lineage of *E. recki* from the Shungura Formation.
 366 Instead, a significant decrease in enamel thickness was observed between members B and C,
 367 followed by little to no change from Member C to upper Member G, then again going through a
 368 rapid, significant decrease in the top members (K, L). Statistically, little significant changes
 369 between members were perceived in the values of hypsodonty from members B to G, until values
 370 display significant increases in K-LL then in UL. These patterns fit better the description of
 371 “incremental changes” suggested by Lister (2013), and of stepwise evolution by Saarinen & Lister
 372 (2023), to mark a difference with gradual evolution through “infinitesimal gradations”
 373 accumulated in a continuous process. Temporal gaps in our sample do not impact this
 374 interpretation of successive sudden changes and stasis, but it is expected that future collections in
 375 Shungura deposits within non-documented time intervals will help identifying more precisely
 376 tipping ages, especially between the lower sequence of Shungura and members K and L.

377 In the meantime, we compared our results with those recently obtained by Saarinen & Lister
 378 (2023) on the ‘*Elephas recki* complex’ from sites contemporaneous to the Shungura Formation
 379 (notably from eastern and western Turkana, see Supplementary Comparative Data Fig. S2). Their
 380 results for HI **are congruent with the** trend and values we observed, and to some extent fill the

Commenté [MOU7]: Damuth & Janis (2011) would also be an appropriate citation here

a supprimé: d

a supprimé: s

a supprimé: proposed by

a supprimé: in his monograph

a supprimé: the

a supprimé: outlined

a supprimé: meaning

a supprimé: the

a supprimé: obvious


a mis en forme : (Asiatique) Chinois (Chine), (Autres) Anglais (G.B.)

a supprimé: very well fit the

391 **temporal** gap between upper G and K, suggesting that the main shift occurred sharply just after 1.5
 392 Ma. However, compared to ours, their ET results display lower values for pre-3.0 Ma specimens
 393 (equivalent to Member B in age), no change between these and values for the interval 3.0 Ma - 2.5
 394 Ma (Member C age), then again lower values after 2.0 Ma. It remains to be determined if these
 395 differences reflect real regional differences, if discrepancies in measurements acquired by different
 396 operators could be sufficient to explain these trend and absolute value differences, or if the way of
 397 defining the temporal range of specimens could impact the observed distributions.

398 As initially suggested by Maglio (1973) for elephantid molar morphology, a HI increase should
 399 be correlated with a decrease in ET in relation to an increasing proportion of abrasive food in diet,
 400 mainly grass. To verify these assumptions, after conducting a linear regression test, we evaluated
 401 the functional relationship of variables of the two functions for the subset of specimens for which
 402 HI, ET and MWA data were available. We then assessed the correlation by comparing the HI and
 403 ET for specimens. The results ($R^2 = 0.42$) showed significant links between the biometric
 404 characters (Fig. 6B). Regarding correlation between the two independent biometric characters (HI
 405 and ET) and behavior (MWA), the results showed $R^2 = 0.008$, $t = 0.51$, $p = 0.62$ and $R^2 = 0.044$, t
 406 $= 1.21$, $p = 0.23$, respectively, hence no significant correlation between the HI and MWA (Fig.
 407 6A), nor between ET and MWA values (see Fig. S1).

408



424
 425 FIG. 6. – Linear regressions (Ordinary Least Squares Regression): **A**, MWA versus HI; **B**, ET versus HI in
 426 the lineage of *Elephas recki* throughout members of the Shungura Formation. Symbols correspond to
 427 members: filled circles, Member B; open squares, members C to F; open diamonds, Member G; open
 428 circles, Member K and lower Member L; open triangles, upper Member L.
 429

430 Our MWA results indicated a constantly abrasive diet (i.e., consumption incorporating a
431 significant amount of grasses) showing little variation through time. This is consistent with results
432 obtained by Saarinen *et al.* (2015) for *Elephas recki* from the Koobi Fora Formation (also
433 belonging the Omo Group of the Turkana Depression). Carbon isotope contents in dental enamel
434 of *Elephas* obtained by Cerling *et al.* (2015) between 3.5 Ma and 1.0 Ma for Kenyan formations
435 of the Omo Group display a signal that can be interpreted as more dominated by grazing but
436 similarly with no trend through time. Saarinen & Lister (2023) obtained also a range of variation
437 in their MWA results constant through time (see Supplementary Comparative Data Fig. S2 C),
438 however these are systematically lower than ours (with a mean difference of 11°). They suggest a
439 diet dominated by low abrasion to mixed contents, and few individuals with a fully grazing signal
440 (4.3 %). This is at odd with our results (55.0 % of individual in grazing range, Fig. 5), with above
441 mentioned isotopic data and with data indicating that the Turkana sites from Kenya sampled
442 landscapes consistently more arid and open than the Omo (see, e.g., Levin *et al.* 2011). We suggest
443 that these differences could be linked to different ways of acquiring MWA values, which stresses
444 the need of building comparisons based on measurements performed by a single operator at this
445 stage of methodological development.

446 At the local scale of the Shungura Formation, our results on biometric character changes of
447 *Elephas recki* are decoupled from the tooth mesowear proxy indicating a constantly abrasive diet
448 (i.e., consumption of grasses). This decoupling is congruent with results obtained by Lister (2013)
449 and Saarinen & Lister (2023) using stable isotope data and MWA, respectively, at broader
450 temporal, geographical and taxonomical scales. This suggests that behavioral adaptation, such as
451 diet or feeding preferences, preceded by far what has been depicted as morphological adaptations
452 to these preferences (Maglio 1973). Indeed, enamel isotopic contents of eastern African
453 elephantids displayed C₄ signals interpreted as a dietary behavior dominated by graze from the
454 Late Miocene until 0.2 Ma (Cerling *et al.* 2011; Uno *et al.* 2011).

455 Isotopic results obtained by Negash *et al.* (2020) for elephantids from the Shungura Formation
456 are not fully congruent with this view. As for our MWA results, they indicate a graze-dominated
457 mixed diet to strictly grazing diet, as previously for bovids and suids from the same formation
458 (Bibi *et al.* 2013), but also display significant differences between Member B and Member C
459 values and an increase in C₄ plants between the lower and the upper part of the sequence. These
460 results could be more in line with the stepwise morphological changes we observed. Yet, these

461 isotopic contents at family level cannot be attributed with certainty to *Elephas recki* and may
462 include different dental positions, and as such are not fully comparable with the dataset used in the
463 present study. Future work on Shungura isotopic ecology will be required to test these results
464 specifically for *E. recki*.

465 ~~A common assumption in evolutionary ecology is that behavior and morphology are tightly~~
466 ~~connected, and that permanent changes in the former would be quickly followed by morphological~~
467 ~~adaptations. On these premises, many studies suggested that global climatic changes drove~~
468 ~~vegetation changes resulting in behavioral and/or morphological innovations of African fauna~~
469 ~~(e.g., Coppens 1975; Vrba 1988, 1995; Bobe & Eck 2001; Negash *et al.* 2015, 2020). The~~
470 ~~decoupling between dietary behavior and morphological changes in elephantids raises the~~
471 ~~possibility of alternate hypotheses for explaining morphological changes through dietary~~
472 ~~adaptations.~~

473 The ‘evolutionary lag’ (Lister 2013) between the acquisition of the grass-dominated diets and
474 the morphological changes seen in proboscideans and other taxa could be related to intrinsic
475 evolutionary constraints, such as the slow reproduction rate of K-strategist elephantids (but not
476 explaining for relatively sudden changes in their tooth morphology) or the need to acquire first
477 craniomandibular morphologies that allow accommodating higher-crown teeth with more enamel
478 plates. Recent work by Zhang (2020) focusing on cranio-mandibular morphology did not suggest
479 particular changes that could have played a role in relaxing constraints on teeth between *E. r.*
480 *brumpti*, *E. r. shungurensis-atavus*, and *E. r. ileretensis-recki*.

481 External, alternative factors may also have contributed to the observed morphological changes.
482 For instance, exogenous grit could have increased as the eastern African landscapes dried up
483 during the Plio-Pleistocene time interval. This could have resulted in a higher rate of abrasion of
484 elephantid teeth, even after they transitioned to a grazing diet. Debates about the role of exogenous
485 grits in herbivore tooth wear versus abrasive plant materials are not new (e.g., Strömberg *et al.*
486 2013; Merceron *et al.* 2016). Grits significantly influenced the selective adaptation of a mammal's
487 tooth with hypsodonty, as noted by Ungar (2015). Janis and Fortelius (1988) suggested that
488 ungulates that live in open, dry habitats and feed on ground level often grow hypsodont molars.
489 However, in contrast, mammals that feed aboveground in wet, closed-canopy forests tend to have
490 brachyodont (low-crowned molars).

a supprimé: The usual

492 Studying the amount of grit and dust in the eastern African rift system can help testing the
493 hypothesis that there is a link between external grit and the rate of morphological evolution.
494 Volcanic ash contains glassy materials that make it highly abrasive (Strömberg *et al.* 2013;
495 Madden 2015). Madden (2015) suggested that the ideal place to test this hypothesis would be the
496 fossiliferous sites in the Plio-Pleistocene geologic sequences of the eastern African rift system
497 such as in Ethiopia and Kenya, where two lineages of suids, australopithecines, and *Theropithecus*
498 all responded similarly to changes in the concentration of external grit. The lineage of *Elephas*
499 *recki* from the Shungura Formation could have responded the same way as the above referred taxa.
500 Saarinen & Lister (2023) reached this conclusion after observing tight correlations between
501 changes in dental features (including HI and ET) and periods of increased aridity. According to
502 them, episodes of increased airborne dust may have resulted in rapid morphological responses
503 preserved during following milder time intervals, explaining the observed stepwise evolution
504 through this ratchet effect. And yet, the apparent lack of impact of Early Pleistocene grit abundance
505 trends on mesowear analysis results calls for caution, and for investigating additional ecological
506 and morphological data from *Elephas* in well-documented, local contexts such as the Shungura
507 Formation.

508

509

510 CONCLUSION

511

512 The decoupling we observed between morphology and diet aligned with that observed by (Lister
513 2013) at family level, this time at the local scale of the Lower Omo Valley, with a different dietary
514 proxy and an expanded dataset for what has been described as a single lineage. At the core of this
515 decoupling are stepwise changes in dental morphology for which there seem to be no fully
516 satisfying explanations for the time being. Could the abrupt change in HI and ET trends between
517 Member G and Member K be linked to a cladogenesis resulting in a diversification of what has
518 been named the '*Elephas recki* complex' (Sanders 2024)? Or can it be explained through changes
519 in evolutionary rates within an anagenetic framework in response to environmental factors?
520 Answering to this question should require additional work on Shungura elephantid taxonomy,
521 multiproxy paleoecological data focusing at specific level, and an integration of these
522 megaherbivores within the more general picture of local community dynamics. This work is

a supprimé: dental feature

a supprimé: picks of

Commenté [MOU8]: Possibly!

525 currently in progress and should help taking a better account of Plio-Pleistocene megaherbivores
526 within our explanations of eastern African ecosystem evolution.

527

528

529 **Acknowledgments**

530 We are grateful to the National Museum of Ethiopia/Ethiopian Heritage Authority (ex-ARCCCH,
531 Ministry of Tourism) for allowing to access collections and perform research. We deeply thank
532 the NME/EHA staff Getahun Tekle and Sahle-Selassie Melaku for guidance and support with
533 collection study. We thank Gildas Merceron for his comments that greatly improved this
534 manuscript. This work was funded by the Ministry of Europe and foreign affairs and conducted
535 within the framework of the Omo Group Research Expedition (OGRE). We are deeply indebted
536 to the hundreds of people who participated to the fieldwork missions of the IORE and of the
537 OGRE, who managed collections and databases, who prepared specimens, who contributed to their
538 study, who provided financial support, who helped with administrative processes, and who
539 provided advice and moral support. The OGRE is a joint program of PALEVOPRIM, the CFEE
540 and the EHA principally funded by the Ministry of Europe and Foreign Affairs, the National
541 Research Agency, the Région Nouvelle-Aquitaine, CNRS INEE, PALEVOPRIM, and the Fyssen
542 Foundation. The OGRE is extremely grateful to the EHA, the SNNPR, the South Omo Zone, the
543 Nyangatom and Dassanetch Weredas and their people for their help and reception.

544

545

546 **REFERENCES**

- 547 ALEMSEGED Z. 2003. — An integrated approach to taphonomy and faunal change in the
548 Shungura Formation (Ethiopia) and its implication for hominid evolution. *Journal of Human*
549 *Evolution* 44: 451–478.
- 550 ALEMSEGED Z., BOBE R. & GERAADS D. 2007. — Comparability of fossil data and its
551 significance for the interpretation of hominin environments: a case study in the lower Omo
552 Valley, Ethiopia, in BOBE R., ALEMSEGED Z. & BEHRENSMEYER A. K. (eds), *Hominin*
553 *Environments in the East African Pliocene*. Springer, Dordrecht: 159–181.
- 554 ARAMBOURG C. 1938. — Mammifères fossiles du Maroc. *Verlag nicht ermittelbar* 46: 1–74.

- 555 ARAMBOURG C. 1948. — Contribution à l'étude géologique et paléontologique du bassin du lac
556 Rodolphe et de la basse vallée de l'Omo. Deuxième partie : paléontologie, in ARAMBOURG C.
557 (ed.), *Mission Scientifique de l'Omo, 1932-1933. Tome 1 : géologie - anthropologie*. Vol.
558 Fascicule 3 Editions du Muséum, Paris: 231–562.
- 559 BEDEN M. 1980. — *Elephas recki* Dietrich, 1915 (Proboscidea, Elephantidae). Evolution au
560 cours du Plio-Pléistocène en Afrique orientale. *Géobios* 13 (6): 891-901.
- 561 BEDEN M. 1983. — Family Elephantidae, in HARRIS J. M. (ed.), *Koobi Fora Research Project.*
562 *Volume 2. The fossil ungulates: Proboscidea, Perissodactyla, and Suidae*. Clarendon Press,
563 Oxford: 40-129.
- 564 BEDEN M. 1987. — Les éléphantidés (Mammalia-Proboscidea), in COPPENS Y. & HOWELL F. C.
565 (eds), *Les faunes plio-pléistocènes de la basse vallée de l'Omo (Ethiopie), tome 2*. Vol.
566 Cahiers de Paléontologie. Editions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris:
567 162 p.
- 568 BIBI F., SOURON A., BOCHERENS H., UNO K. & BOISSERIE J.-R. 2013. — Ecological change in the
569 lower Omo Valley around 2.8 Ma. *Biology Letters* 9 (1): 1–4.
- 570 BOBE R. 2006. — The evolution of arid ecosystems in eastern Africa. *Journal of Arid*
571 *Environments* 66: 564–584.
- 572 BOBE R. & ECK G. G. 2001. — Responses of African bovids to Pliocene climatic change.
573 *Paleobiology memoirs* suppl. 27 (Memoir 2): 1–47.
- 574 BOISSERIE J.-R., GUY F., DELAGNES A., HLUSKO L. J., BIBI F., BEYENE Y. & GUILLEMOT C. 2008.
575 — New palaeoanthropological research in the Plio-Pleistocene Omo Group, Lower Omo
576 Valley, SNNPR (Southern Nations, Nationalities and People Regions), Ethiopia. *Comptes*
577 *Rendus Palevol* 7: 429–439.
- 578 BROWN F. H., HAILEAB B. & MCDUGALL I. 2006. — Sequence of tuffs between the KBS tuff
579 and the Chari tuff in the Turkana Basin, Kenya and Ethiopia. *Journal of the Geological*
580 *Society* 163: 185–204.
- 581 CANTALAPIEDRA J. L., SANISIDRO Ó., ZHANG H., ALBERDI M. T., PRADO J. L., BLANCO F. &
582 SAARINEN J. 2021. — The rise and fall of proboscidean ecological diversity. *Nature Ecology*
583 *& Evolution* 5 (9): 1266-1272.

- 584 CERLING T. E., HARRIS J. M., MACFADDEN B. J., LEAKEY M. G., QUADE J., EISENMANN V. &
585 EHLERINGER J. R. 1997. — Global vegetation change through the Miocene/Pliocene
586 boundary. *Nature* 389: 153–158.
- 587 CERLING T. E., HARRIS J. M. & LEAKEY M. G. 1999. — Browsing and grazing in elephants: the
588 isotope record of modern and fossil proboscideans. *Oecologia* 120: 364–374.
- 589 CERLING T. E., LEVIN N. E. & PASSEY B. H. 2011. — Stable isotope ecology in the Omo-Turkana
590 Basin. *Evolutionary Anthropology* 20: 228–237.
- 591 CERLING T. E., MANTHI F. K., MBUA E. N., LEAKEY L. N., LEAKEY M. G., LEAKEY R. E., BROWN
592 F. H., GRINE F. E., HART J. A., KALEME P., ROCHE H., UNO K. T. & WOOD B. A. 2013. —
593 Stable isotope-based diet reconstructions of Turkana Basin hominins. *Proceedings of the*
594 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 110 (26): 10501–10506.
- 595 CERLING T. E., ANDANJE S. A., BLUMENTHAL S. A., BROWN F. H., CHRITZ K. L., HARRIS J. M.,
596 HART J. A., KIRERA F. M., KALEME P., LEAKEY L. N., LEAKEY M. G., LEVIN N. E., MANTHI F.
597 K., PASSEY B. H. & UNO K. T. 2015. — Dietary changes of large herbivores in the Turkana
598 Basin, Kenya from 4 to 1 Ma. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*
599 *States of America* 112 (37): 11467–11472.
- 600 COOKE, H. B. S. (1947). "*Variation in the Molars of the Living African Elephant and a Critical*
601 *Revision of the Fossil Proboscidea of Southern Africa*. 434–457; 492–517.
- 602 COPPENS Y. 1975. — Évolution des mammifères, de leurs fréquences et de leurs associations, au
603 cours du Plio-Pléistocène dans la basse vallée de l'Omo en Éthiopie. *Comptes Rendus de*
604 *l'Académie des Sciences* 281: 1571-1574.
- 605 COPPENS Y. 1978. — Evolution of the hominids and of their environment during the Plio-
606 Pleistocene in the lower Omo Valley, Ethiopia. *Geological Society, London, Special*
607 *Publications* 6(1): 499–506.
- 608 FEIBEL C. S., BROWN F. H. & MCDUGALL I. 1989. — Stratigraphic context of fossil hominids
609 from the Omo group deposits: Northern Turkana Basin, Kenya and Ethiopia. *American*
610 *Journal of Physical Anthropology* 78 (4): 595–622.
- 611 FORTELIUS M. & SOLOUNIAS N. 2000. — Functional characterization of ungulate molars using
612 the abrasion-attribution wear gradient: a new method for reconstructing paleodiets. *American*
613 *Museum novitates* 3301: 1–36.

- 614 FRITZ H., DUNCAN P., GORDON I. J. & ILLIUS A. W. 2002. — Megaherbivores influence trophic
615 guilds structure in African ungulate communities. *Oecologia* 131: 620–625.
- 616 GHEERBRANT E. & TASSY P. 2009. — L'origine et l'évolution des éléphants. *Comptes Rendus*
617 *Palevol* 8: 281–294.
- 618 GILBERT W. H. & ASFAW B. 2008. — *Homo erectus*. *Pleistocene Evidence from the Middle*
619 *Awash, Ethiopia*. University of California Press, Berkeley, The Middle Awash Series, 458 p.
- 620 HEINZELIN J. de 1983. — *The Omo Group*. *Archives of the International Omo Research*
621 *Expedition*. Musée Royal de l'Afrique Centrale, Tervuren, Annales, Sciences Géologiques,
622 365 p.
- 623 HOWELL F. C. & COPPENS Y. 1974. — Inventory of remains of Hominidae from
624 Pliocene/Pleistocene formations of the lower Omo basin, Ethiopia (1967-1972). *American*
625 *Journal of Physical Anthropology* 40: 1–16.
- 626 JANIS C. M. & FORTELIUS M. 1988. — On the means whereby mammals achieve increased
627 functional durability of their dentition, with special reference to limiting factors. *Biological*
628 *Reviews* 63: 197–230.
- 629 KAISER T. M., MÜLLER D. W. H., FORTELIUS M., SCHULZ E., CODRON D. & CLAUSS M. 2013. —
630 Hypsodonty and tooth facet development in relation to diet and habitat in herbivorous
631 ungulates: Implications for understanding tooth wear: Hypsodonty, mesowear, tooth wear.
632 *Mammal Review* 43(1): 34–46.
- 633 KALB J. E. & MEBRATE A. 1993. — Fossil elephantoids: from the hominid-bearing Awash
634 Group, Middle Awash Valley, Afar Depression, Ethiopia. *Transactions of the American*
635 *Philosophical Society* 83 (1): 1–114.
- 636 KIDANE T., BROWN F. H. & KIDNEY C. 2014. — Magnetostratigraphy of the fossil-rich Shungura
637 Formation, southwest Ethiopia. *Journal of African Earth Sciences* 97: 207–223.
- 638 LEVIN N. E., BROWN F. H., BEHRENSMEYER A. K., BOBE R. & CERLING T. E. 2011. — Paleosol
639 carbonates from the Omo Group: isotopic records of local and regional environmental change
640 in East Africa. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 307: 75–89.
- 641 LISTER A. M. 2013. — The role of behaviour in adaptive morphological evolution of African
642 proboscideans. *Nature* 500: 331–334.
- 643 MADDEN R. H. 2015. — *Hypsodonty in mammals*. Cambridge University Press, 423 p.
- 644 MAGLIO V. J. 1972. — Evolution of mastication in the Elephantidae. *Evolution* 26 (4): 638–658.

- 645 MAGLIO V. J. 1973. — Origin and evolution of the Elephantidae. *Transactions of the American*
646 *Philosophical Society* 63 (3): 1-149.
- 647 MCDUGALL I. & BROWN F. H. 2008. — Geochronology of the pre-KBS Tuff sequence, Omo
648 Group, Turkana Basin. *Journal of the Geological Society* 165: 549–562.
- 649 MCDUGALL I., BROWN F. H., VASCONCELOS P. M., COHEN B. E., THIEDE D. S. & BUCHANAN M.
650 J. 2012. — New single crystal $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages improve time scale for deposition of the Omo
651 Group, Omo–Turkana Basin, East Africa. *Journal of the Geological Society* 169: 213–226.
- 652 MERCERON G., RAMDARSHAN A., BLONDEL C., BOISSERIE J.-R., BRUNETIERE N., FRANCISCO A.,
653 GAUTIER D., MILHET X., NOVELLO A. & PRET D. 2016. — Untangling the environmental from
654 the dietary: dust does not matter. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 283 (20161032): 1-8.
- 655 NEGASH E. W., ALEMSEGED Z., BOBE R., GRINE F., SPONHEIMER M. & WYNN J. G. 2020. —
656 Dietary trends in herbivores from the Shungura Formation, southwestern Ethiopia.
657 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 117 (36):
658 21921-21927.
- 659 NEGASH E. W., ALEMSEGED Z., WYNN J. G. & BEDASO Z. K. 2015. — Paleodietary
660 reconstruction using stable isotopes and abundance analysis of bovids from the Shungura
661 Formation of South Omo, Ethiopia. *Journal of Human Evolution* 88: 127-136.
- 662 OWEN-SMITH R. N. 1988. — *Megaherbivores. The influence of very large body size on ecology.*
663 Cambridge University Press, 369 p.
- 664 ROCA A. L., GEORGIADIS N., PECON-SLATTERY J. & O'BRIEN S. J. 2001. — Genetic evidence for
665 two species of Elephant in Africa. *Science* 293: 1473–1477.
- 666 SAARINEN J., KARME A., CERLING T., UNO K., SÄILÄ, KASIKI S., NGENE S., OBARI T., MBUA E.,
667 MANTHI F. K. & FORTELIUS M. 2015. — A new tooth wear-based dietary analysis method for
668 Proboscidea (Mammalia). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 35 (3): 1-8.
- 669 SAARINEN J. & LISTER A. M. 2023. — Fluctuating climate and dietary innovation drove ratcheted
670 evolution of proboscidean dental traits. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 7(9): 1490–1502.
- 671 SAEGUSA H. & GILBERT W. H. 2008. — Elephantidae, in GILBERT W. H. & ASFAW B. (eds),
672 *Homo erectus. Pleistocene Evidence from the Middle Awash, Ethiopia.* University of
673 California Press, Berkeley: 193–226.
- 674 SANDERS W. J. 2024. — *Evolution and fossil record of African Proboscidea* (First edition). CRC
675 Press, 346 p.

a supprimé: p

- 677 SANDERS W. J. & HAILE-SELASSIE Y. 2012. — A new assemblage of mid-Pliocene
678 proboscideans from the Woranso-Mille area, Afar region, Ethiopia: taxonomic, evolutionary,
679 and paleoecological considerations. *Journal of Mammalian Evolution* 19: 105-128.
- 680 SANDERS W. J., GHEERBRANT E., HARRIS J. M., SAEGUSA H. & DELMER C. 2010. — Proboscidea,
681 in WERDELIN L. & SANDERS W. J. (eds), *Cenozoic Mammals of Africa*. University of
682 California Press, Berkeley: 161–251.
- 683 SHOSHANI J. & TASSY P. 2005. — Advances in proboscidean taxonomy & classification,
684 anatomy & physiology, and ecology & behavior. *Quaternary International* 126-128: 5–20.
- 685 SIMPSON G. G. 1945. — The principles of classification and a classification of the mammals.
686 *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 85: 1–350.
- 687 STRÖMBERG C. A. E. 2011. — Evolution of Grasses and Grassland Ecosystems. *Annual Review*
688 *of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 39(1): 517–544.
- 689 STRÖMBERG C. A. E., DUNN R. E., MADDEN R. H., KOHN M. J. & CARLINI A. A. 2013. —
690 Decoupling the spread of grasslands from the evolution of grazer-type herbivores in South
691 America. *Nature Communications* 4: 1-8.
- 692 SUKUMAR R. (2003). — *The living elephants: Evolutionary ecology, behavior, and conservation*.
693 Oxford University Press, 478 p.
- 694 TASSY P. (1988). — The classification of Proboscidea: how many cladistic classifications?
695 *Cladistics* 4(1): 43–57.
- 696 TODD N. E. (2005). — Reanalysis of African *Elephas recki*: Implications for time, space and
697 taxonomy. *Quaternary International* 126-128: 65–72.
- 698 UNGAR P. S. (2010). — *Mammal Teeth: Origin, Evolution, and Diversity*. The John Hopkins
699 University Press, 320 p.
- 700 UNGAR P. S. (2015). Mammalian dental function and wear: A review. *Biosurface and*
701 *Biotribology* 1(1): 25–41.
- 702 UNO K. T., CERLING T. E., HARRIS J. M., KUNIMATSU Y., LEAKEY M. G., NAKATSUKASA M. &
703 NAKAYA H. 2011. — Late Miocene to Pliocene carbon isotope record of differential diet
704 change among East African herbivores. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*
705 *the United States of America* 108 (16): 6509–6514.
- 706 VRBA E. S. 1988. — Late Pliocene climatic events and hominid evolution, in GRINE F. (ed.),
707 *Evolutionary History of the Robust Australopithecines*. De Gruyter, New York: 405–426.

- 708 VRBA E. S. 1995. — The fossil record of African antelopes (Mammalia, Bovidae) in relation to
709 human evolutions and paleoclimate, in VRBA E. S., DENTON G. H., PARTRIDGE T. C. &
710 BURCKLE L. H. (eds), *Paleoclimate and evolution with emphasis on human origins*. Yale
711 University Press, New Haven: 385–424.
- 712 WILLIAMS S. H. & KAY R. F. 2001. — A comparative test of adaptive explanations for
713 hypsodonty in ungulates and rodents. *Journal of Mammalian Evolution* 8 (3): 207–229.
- 714 ZHANG H. 2020. — Evolution and systematics of the Elephantidae (Mammalia, Proboscidea)
715 from the Late Miocene to Recent [Ph. D. dissertation: University of Bristol, 319 p.