Morphometric changes in two Late Cretaceous calcareous nannofossil lineages support diversification fueled by long-term cooling climate change
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ABSTRACT
Morphometric changes have been investigated in the two groups of calcareous nannofossil species, Cribrosphaerella ehrenbergii and Microrhabdulus undosus across the Campanian to Maastrichtian of Iran. Results reveal a common episode of size increase at c. 76 Ma, with a sudden shift in size of C. ehrenbergii toward larger specimens and with the emergence of a newly defined, larger species Microrhabdulus sp. nov.1 zagrosensis. An even larger species emerges at c. 69 Ma within the Microrhabdulus lineage, Microrhabdulus sp. nov.2 sinuosus. The timing of these size changes and origination events matches global changes in nannoplankton diversity and/or in diversity of other planktonic organisms and cephalopod marine invertebrates. Comparison with long-term global climate changes supports these two distinct episodes of morphological change coincide respectively with the late Campanian carbon isotope event and acceleration of cooling and with climatic instability across the mid-Maastrichtian event. New biometric and evolutionary events represent an excellent illustration of Late Cretaceous global rise in calcareous nannofossil diversity and size, being associated with climatic cooling and/or climatic instability, following an analog of both Cope’s and Bergmann’s rules.
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1. Introduction

Extant and fossil calcareous microplankton commonly comprise numerous cryptic and/or pseudo-cryptic species (Sáez et al., 2003; de Vargas et al., 2004). Morphometric measurements such as size variation are regularly conducted on calcareous nannofossils in order to assess changes in morphology that often lead to the erection of new species and lineages (Bollmann, 1997; Geisen et al., 2004; Shamrock and Watkins, 2009). Among planktonic microfossils, the fossil record of calcareous nannoplankton is particularly important as it is intimately linked to the carbon cycle, global changes in climate, and oceanography. Morphological changes in calcareous nannofossils have been the subject of numerous studies across their evolutionary history in the Meso-Cenozoic. Perhaps due to
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better preserved records. Cenozoic biometric studies are abundant while Mesozoic studies have focused mostly on specific intervals such as the Early to Middle Jurassic (Sucheras, Marx et al., 2010; Suan et al., 2010; Peti and Thibault, 2017, 2021; Menini et al., 2021; Faucher et al., 2022; Lopez-Oliva et al., 2012; Ferreira et al., 2016b or Early to mid-Cretaceous (Bornemann and Mutterlose, 2006; Barbarin et al., 2012; Lübbe and Mutterlose, 2016; Bottini and Faucher, 2020; Wolff et al., 2020). In comparison to the latter periods, and considering that the Santonian to Maastrichtian interval bears the highest diversity within the overall evolutionary history of calcareous nannoplankton (Bown et al., 2004), the significant part of the Late Cretaceous has been the subject of relatively few biometric studies mostly focused on Arkhanalskiiellaceae and the Eiffelithus lineages (Gerrits, 1987; Paris, 1995; Thibault et al., 2004; Linnert and Mutterlose, 2009; Shamrock and Watkins, 2009; Thibault, 2010; Linnert and Mutterlose, 2009; Linnert et al., 2014). Such studies are of fundamental importance in taxonomy, often revealing the presence of pseudo-cryptic taxa (Shamrock and Watkins, 2009; Sucheras-Marx et al., 2016; Thibault, 2010; Peti and Thibault, 2017, 2021), but also revealing trends that coincide with paleoenvironmental change (Suan et al., 2010; Ferreira et al., 2016; Lübbe and Mutterlose, 2016; Bottini and Faucher, 2020; Wolff et al., 2020). A compilation of calcareous nannoplankton diversity through time (Brown et al., 2004a) allowed Brown (2005) to suggest that Cretaceous nannoplankton diversification occurred during cool intervals, supported by increased paleobiogeographical partitioning of oceanic-photic zone environments and the establishment of high-latitude provinces. The interval spanning the Cenozoic to Maastrichtian (83–66 Ma) is particularly suited to test a possible effect of long-term climate change on nannoplankton communities as this interval is marked by one of the greatest diversification events leading up to the highest species richness in the evolutionary history of this group in the Cenozoic. Some planktonic microfossils, commonly comprise numerous morphospecies species (Steele et al., 2003; de Vargas et al., 2004), morphometric measurements such as size variation are regularly conducted on calcareous nannofossils in order to access subtle changes in morphology that often lead to the erection of new species and lineages (Bollmann, 1992; Geisen et al., 2004; Shamrock and Watkins, 2000). However, contrasting with the documentation of a Late Cretaceous diversity peak in their evolution, Santonian to Maastrichtian calcareous nannofossil species have rarely been studied for consistent measurements of size variations and other related biometric parameters, except for two lineages: Arkhanalskiiellaceae and Eiffelithus lineages (Bottini, 1993; Bollmann, 1997; Geisen et al., 2004; Shamrock and Watkins, 2009; Thibault, 2010; Peti and Thibault, 2017, 2021). During the investigation of samples from the Shahneshin section (Zagros basin, Iran, Razmjooei et al., 2018; Fig. 1), we noticed interesting patterns in size and morphology of Cribrophaerella ehrenbergii and Microvahabduls undosus, two species that are frequent to common in Santonian to Maastrichtian low-latitude assemblages. The paleoecology of M. undosus is unclear, and it is essentially a cosmopolitan taxon (Linnert, 2006, 2017). Although C. ehrenbergii is also a cosmopolitan taxon (Thiersson, 1981; Henriksson and Malmer, 1997; Linnert, 2006), several authors have considered this species as having a greater affinity toward cool sea surface temperatures (Wise, 1983; Postichal and Wise, 1990; Watkins, 1992; Ovechkin and Alexeev, 2002, 2005; Razmjooei et al., 2020a), possibly enhanced in more proximal conditions (Razmjooei et al., 2020a); while others postulated a controversial affinity to nutrient availability. For instance, Erba et al. (1995) suggested that blooms of C. ehrenbergii could indicate increased surface water productivity, while Linnert et al. (2011) inferred a lower nutrient affinity for this species. The purpose of this study is to better constrain Cribrophaerella and Microvahabdus taxonomy, investigate biometric changes in these two lineages, and evaluate the timing of these changes relative to global changes in temperature and the carbon cycle, and compare them to long-term global paleotemperature reconstructions as an illustrative test for the potential link between Cretaceous long-term cooling and the rise in diversity.
2. Geological setting and a summary of earlier works

The Upper Cretaceous pelagic deposits of the Gurpi Fm. in the Shahneshin section consists of regular carbonate cycles of dark- to pale-gray marl and dark-gray to light yellow argillaceous limestones with a number of resistant carbonate beds forming ridges in the landscape that constitute excellent markers across the field area. The section possesses the advantage of bearing a detailed and precise stratigraphic framework of calcareous nannofossils, planktonic foraminifera, marine palynomorphs and bulk carbonate carbon isotopes (Razmjooei et al., 2018; Fig. 2). The Shahneshin section is situated in the central part of the Zagros folded zone, west of Fars province, on the northeast of Kazerun city and the northwest of the Shahneshin anticline, with geological coordinates of N29° 44´ 47´´; E51° 46´ 31´´ for the base of the section, and N29° 44´ 40.69´´; E51° 46´ 26.87´´, for the top of the Cretaceous sequence.

The first stratigraphic framework on Shahneshin was carried out in 2014 based on integrated calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy and carbon isotope stratigraphy (Razmjooei et al., 2014). In 2018, the detailed integrated bio- (calcareous nannofossils, planktonic foraminifera and marine dinoflagellate cysts) and stable carbon isotope stratigraphy, and with a subsequent graphic correlation to Tethyan reference sections (Gubbio, Italy) led to proposing an age model for the Gurpi succession and for numerous Late Cretaceous planktonic foraminifer and calcareous nannofossil bioevents (Razmjooei et al., 2018; Fig. 2). According to these two earlier works, two significant hiatuses were recorded in the latest Campanian and/or across the Campian-Maastrichtian boundary.
across the topmost Maastrichtian to early Danian. Later on, combined with facies change, carbonate content and changes in absolute abundance of calcareous nannofossils, a sequence stratigraphic interpretation was proposed for the Gurpi Fm. at Shahneshin (Razmjooei et al., 2020a). A bit later, in 2020, moreover, a detailed abundance counts and statistical analysis were investigated on the calcareous nannofossil assemblage to explore its response to Late Cretaceous climatic changes. According to this research study, a Late Cretaceous intensification of cooling across the late Campanian-early Maastrichtian, a mid-Maastrichtian warming episode, and a late Maastrichtian cooling episode could be delineated in Zagros along with accompanying proximal/distal trends possibly influencing the nannofossil assemblage (Razmjooei et al., 2020b).

3. Material and methods


Due to the moderate to poor preservation of calcareous nannofossils (Razmjooei et al., 2020b), only the best-preserved samples with frequent to common abundance specimens of the two lineages C. ehrenbergii and M. undosus with frequent to common abundance in the upper Campanian-Maastrichtian samples were chosen for biometric measurement. The quantitative and paleoecological studies reveal that the preservation of the calcareous nannofossil assemblage is moderate but the two studied lineages are frequent to common in the upper Campanian-Maastrichtian samples (Razmjooei et al., 2020a) (Fig. 2) and large enough (> 3 µm) to prevent any major influence of diagenesis on size changes. The length of C. ehrenbergii has been investigated in standard smear-slides for a total of 29 samples spanning the entire Campanian and Maastrichtian intervals of the Shahneshin section (Table 1; Supplementary Appendix 1). Because of the lower abundance, changes in the size and morphology of M. undosus group have been investigated in 17 samples from 170 to 342 m (upper lower Campanian to Maastrichtian) where the abundance of the species was high enough to allow for the measurement of several specimens (Supplementary Appendix 1). The sample spacing varies mostly between 7 to 9 m with time intervals of varying between 250 to and 900 kyr according to the age-model presented in Razmjooei et al. (2018) (Supplementary Appendices 3 and 4). When possible, we measured the length and width of up to 50 specimens of C. ehrenbergii. Three samples at 106.2, 123.1, and 186 m had a low abundance of this species so that fewer specimens only 19, 23, and 40 specimens were measured in them respectively (Table 1).
Figure 2: Integrated biostratigraphy and bulk carbonate carbon and oxygen isotope records of the Shahneshin section based on Razmjooei et al. (2018), along with the relative abundances of Microrhabdulus spp. and C. ehrenbergii according to Razmjooei et al. (2020b). The two green areas with dashed lines indicate the two stratigraphic levels with major shifts observed in the morphology of the two taxa. Stratigraphic and isotopic data from Razmjooei et al. (2018). The given absolute ages are based on the age-model presented in Razmjooei et al. (2018).

For M. undosus group, we could measure the length and width of up to 30 specimens in only 8 samples. The total number of specimens measured in other samples is provided in Table 2. The biometric measurements have been performed using the Leica DFC320 digital camera and the ImageJ 1.50i software. In total 1385 pictures of C. ehrenbergii and 336 pictures of M. undosus were captured throughout the Campanian–Maastrichtian interval. All the images were taken under the cross-polarised light (XPL). Despite the heterogeneity...
of the *M. undosus* group dataset, our investigations of size changes in this group was paralleled with systematic observations on the patterns of individual laths that characterize this taxon (Supplementary Appendix 2). As described further, significant changes in the size of the *M. undosus* group occur at precise stratigraphic intervals and coincide with significant changes in lath patterns (Figs. 3 and 4). Accordingly, biometric measurements on individual samples are used to follow the progression of these patterns across the stratigraphy of the section and the statistical significance in the difference between potential morphotypes is tested via density plots using the Matlab® script of Thibault et al. (2018) and via the comparison of distribution histograms produced in PAST® (Hammer et al., 2001) for three distinct stratigraphic intervals that bear enough specimens for reliable statistics (Fig. 5).

A settling technique was adapted to obtain suitable slides for Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) analyses and eliminate particles bigger than 30 µm and smaller than 1 µm. Moreover, to have a cleaner surface for observing coccoliths and taking pictures of coccolith individuals under SEM, the suspensions from gravity settling were subjected to the filtration technique using membrane filters with 0.8 µm pore size. Finally, to observe and capture images of the same nannofossil individuals under the light and SEM microscopes, we applied the slide preparation technique proposed by Gallagher (1988) and Pirini Radrizzani et al. (1990) using a copper grid with the coordinate system.

Table 1: Number of measured specimens, mean length (µm), mean width (µm), standard deviation and 95% confidence intervals for each sample investigated for the size of *Cribrosphaerella ehrenbergii*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>height (m)</th>
<th>mean length (µm)</th>
<th>mean width (µm)</th>
<th>STD length</th>
<th>95% STD length</th>
<th>STD width</th>
<th>95% STD width</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>242.0</td>
<td>52.24</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333.0</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315.0</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290.0</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283.0</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>275.0</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>266.0</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250.0</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243.0</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234.0</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226.0</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216.0</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201.0</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186.0</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176.0</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162.0</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154.2</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148.3</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139.2</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130.3</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123.1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106.2</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Number of measured specimens, mean length (µm), mean width (µm), standard deviation and 95% confidence intervals for each sample investigated for the size of *Cribrosphaerella ehrenbergii*.
TABLE 2: Number of measured specimens, maximum length (μm), mean width (μm), standard deviation and 95% confidence intervals for each sample investigated for the size of Microrhabdulus undosus group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height(m)</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>max length</th>
<th>mean width</th>
<th>STD width</th>
<th>95% STD width</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>342.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27.40</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20.60</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>307.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>299.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16.70</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>291.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17.60</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19.40</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>275.0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>258.0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19.30</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19.10</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21.10</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.20</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20.40</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19.30</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15.40</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Results

4.1. Preservation of the calcareous nannofossils

According to our previous study by Razmjooei et al. (2020b) and following the criteria proposed by Roth (1978), the preservation of calcareous nannofossils in the Shahneshin section is moderate to poor. This moderate to poor preservation of the assemblage moderately low preservation of calcareous nannofossil assemblage, which has been linked to the diagenesis overprint, is manifested by the significantly high abundance of the solution-resistant species Watznaueria barnesi (Roth and Krumbach, 1986) throughout the Campanian-Maastrichtian interval, as well as through the relative negative offset of bulk carbonate $\delta^{13}$C values compared to better–preserved tropical sections of the same interval (Razmjooei et al., 2020b). Even though we cannot exclude that the two studied lineages, C. ehrenbergii and M. undosus group, have not been immune from the affected by impact of diagenesis, they were large enough (> 3 μm) to prevent a major influence of diagenesis on size changes since mostly small coccoliths < 3 μm tend...
to be preferentially dissolved when undergoing dissolution or to being completely erased from the assemblage. The preservation of the two lineages is good enough to observe the main features of their morphology, for instance, the shields with R-units and V-units crystals and the perforated central area for the of *C. ehrenbergii*. When this species is strongly dissolved, the perforations in the central area are not visible anymore and the central area is thus empty. Our specimens often exhibit perforations in the central area, and when no perforations were clearly visible, the measured specimens were complete with clear thick rims. As for *M. undosus*, it is clear that preservation has affected this species, for instance, many specimens are fragmented and therefore the total length would represent a biased criterion. SEM observations reported below show some dissolution and recrystallization features that these features do not affect the diameter of the rod which is reflected in our data by the measured width in XPL. Also, the undulating laths around the central rod of *M. undosus* group specimens remain very clearly visible and morphological patterns in-between the various morphospecies evidenced in our data are well depicted in documented specimens (Fig. 4).

### 4.12. *C. ehrenbergii* relative abundance and size variations

According as illustrated in figure 2, the relative abundance of *C. ehrenbergii* averages fluctuations around 5% in the Coniacian to Santonian interval, then reaches a minimum of less than 1% in the earliest Campanian, peaks at up to more than 12% in the upper part of Zone CC19, and remains around 5% again through CC20 and CC21. In the latest Campanian Zone CC22, a significant increase is observed with values reaching up to 15%. An acme of the species with relative abundances higher than 10% and peaking up to maximum values of 20% is observed throughout the uppermost Campanian CC22 Zone to lower Maastrichtian CC24 Zone. The relative abundance of *C. ehrenbergii* decreases again down to 5% in the mid-Maastrichtian and remains below 10% within CC25. The upper Maastrichtian subzones CC26a and UC20b–cTP are characterized by a second acme of the species reaching values well above 10% and up to over 17%. A slight decrease of the species is observed in the uppermost Maastrichtian samples from the top of CC26a and UC20cTP to the Cretaceous–Paleogene (K–Pg) boundary (Fig. 2). From our biometric measurements, it appears that the size of *C. ehrenbergii* remains essentially stable around a mean length of 5.5 µm throughout the lower Campanian to upper Campanian Zone CC21. A rapid significant increase in the average size of *C. ehrenbergii* occurs in coincidence with the onset of the first acme of the species within upper Campanian Zone CC22. Thereafter, the size of *C. ehrenbergii* remains stable around a mean of 6.5 µm in the remaining of the upper Campanian to Maastrichtian (Fig. 3). Comparison of two distribution histograms for stratigraphic intervals below and above 240 m highlights the near complete disappearance of *C. ehrenbergii* representatives smaller than 5 µm and appearance of giant specimens > 8 µm in upper Campanian to Maastrichtian zones CC22 to CC26 (Fig. 3, distribution histograms A and B).
4.3. *M. undosus* relative abundance and size variations

*Microhabdulus* spp. represent only a minor component of the calcareous nannofossil assemblage for much of the Coniacian to lower Campanian where they are generally below 2.5% (Fig. 2). This is probably because of the lack or very low abundance of *M. undosus* in this interval. The relative abundance of the genus starts to increase up to 5% within upper Campanian Zone CC21 and shows a first significant peak abundance in the top of CC21 and within Zone CC22 with values up to 9%. This significant increase in the relative abundance of the genus is actually related to the first common occurrence of *M. undosus* which is the dominant species within this lineage. *Microhabdulus* spp. relative abundance fluctuates gently around 4% in the remaining of the upper Campanian. *M. decoratus* increase and show a lower Maastrichtian acme with a double peak of abundance reaching values as high as 12%. The top of this acme is in the upper part of zones CC25/UC19 and coincides with minimal values in *C. ehrenbergii*. Values then fluctuate between 1 and 7% in the remaining of the Maastrichtian (Fig. 2). The genus is essentially represented by two groups, *M. decoratus* and the *M. undosus* group. *M. decoratus* is responsible for a peak abundance of the genus up to 6% across the Coniacian/Santonian boundary. Otherwise, *M. decoratus* is generally below 1.5% so that abundance fluctuations described above essentially correspond to that of the *M. undosus* group (Fig. 2). Variations in the length of the *M. undosus* group are generally biased by the frequent fragmentation observed for this species. *Microhabdulus* nannoliths are elongated rods generally >10 µm in length for 1 to 3 µm in width, hence the rods are commonly fragmented and edges of the rod often lack the typical tapering observed in complete specimens. Despite this bias, the maximum length observed in each sample can still represent a valuable index as this parameter is more likely to represent the length of complete, non-fragmented specimens, but evidently, the average length is meaningless and hence, not considered further in this study.
study. A major shift in the morphology of the *M. undosus* group occurs in the upper Campanian Zone CC22 in coincidence with the shift in size of *C. ehrenbergii* and with a peak abundance of *Microrhabdulus* spp. (Fig. 3). This change is expressed by a slight increase in maximum length of the *M. undosus* group, and by a transient, significant increase in the width of the rod (Figs 3 and 4), together with the occurrence of specimens with wavy patterns in the extinction line of the central axis of the rod and a slight change in morphology of the laths from triangular to rose-thorn shaped (Fig. 4). Moreover, we also observe an increase in maximum length of our specimens that parallels the increase in width of the rod (Fig. 6). It must be noted that the observed range of maximum length observed here varies between 14 and 27 µm which matches the given size range of 15 to 30 µm in illustrated specimens of the Nannotax3 database (https://www.mikrotax.org/Nannotax3/index.php?taxon=Microrhabdulus%20undosus&em=leimerotaxa_mesoic). These observations lead us to define *Microrhabdulus* sp. nov.1 (see section 4.1., taxonomy). A second episode with a significant change in morphology is observed at around 315 m, in the top of subzone CC25a/Zone UC19. The maximum size length of the *M. undosus* group increases significantly to reach up to 27 µm towards the top Maastrichtian. In parallel, a progressive, significant increase in the width of the rod is also observed in the same interval (Figs 3 and 4) together with the occurrence of numerous forms that bear a sinuous central axis and additional, overlapping rows of rose-thorn shape laths visible within the central axis (Fig. 4). These observations lead us to define two new species *Microrhabdulus* sp. nov.2*appar oidensis* and *M. sinuosus* and append the definition of *M. undosus* sensu stricto (see section 4.1., taxonomy). The significant difference in distribution histograms of the *M. undosus* group below and above 240 m strongly support the mixing of two or three distinct morphospecies in samples above 240 m in the 170-234 m and 243-307 m intervals (Fig. 5, distribution histograms C and D). We also produced distribution histograms for the width of the three distinguished morphospecies following the taxonomy given in the next chapter (Fig. 6, distribution histograms A, B and C). The bimodal distribution histogram of the uppermost interval at 315-342 m also supports the emergence of a third, thicker, wider morphospecies of *M. undosus* in the upper Maastrichtian (Fig. 5, distribution histograms E, Fig. 6, distribution histogram D).
Figure 4: Evolution of the *Microrhabdulus undosus* group across the Campanian-Maastrichtian interval of the Shahneshin section with all distinctive features that characterize narrow (A) and large *M. undosus* sensu stricto (B), *Microrhabdulus* sp. nov.1 (C) and *Microrhabdulus* sp. nov.2 (D).
Figure 5: Distribution histograms of *C. ehrenbergii* (A and B) and *M. undosus* group (C, D and E) across relevant stratigraphic intervals highlighting observed differences in their morphology through time.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Taxonomy

Family AXOPODORBACTACEAE Wind and Wise in Wise and Wind (1977)

Genus *Cribrosphaerella* Deflandre in Piveteau (1952)

*Cribrosphaerella ehrenbergii*, (Arkhangelsky, 1912) Deflandre in Piveteau (1952)
Remarks. Perch-Nielsen (1968) and Reinhardt (1964) first made a distinction between distinct forms of Maastrichtian Cribrosphaerella species and this distinction was confirmed later on by Perch-Nielsen (1968) in the Maastrichtian of Denmark. In particular, they noticed a distinction between *C. ehrenbergii* and *C. hilli* based on the number of elements composing the shield. *C. hilli* having a clear tendency towards bearing more elements on the shield than *C. ehrenbergii* for the same size. In her SEM illustrations, *C. hilli* appeared more elliptical than subrectangular, contrary to *C. ehrenbergii*, which was clearly subrectangular. Reinhardt (1964) and Perch-Nielsen (1968) did not mention any significant difference in maximum size between *C. ehrenbergii* and *C. hilli*, but Perch-Nielsen (1968) showed that the minimum size of her measured specimens of *C. hilli* was 7 µm long whereas her smaller specimen of *C. ehrenbergii* is 5 µm long. The definition of *C. hilli* by Reinhardt (1964) provides a range of large sizes between 8 and 10 µm, thus pointing towards systematically large specimens of *Cribrosphaerella* as compared to a total size range of 5-10 µm for *C. ehrenbergii*. Therefore, we cannot completely exclude that our observation of a significant rise in the mean length of *C. ehrenbergii* recorded in the late Campanian of Shahneshin is possibly due to the first occurrence of *C. hilli*. This species is being probably slightly longer in size than *C. ehrenbergii* according to Perch-Nielsen’s biometric data. We are not able to make any clear consistent distinction here in the outline (subrectangular versus elliptical) between these specimens measured in our investigations under XPL-LM but all our observed specimens of *C. ehrenbergii* are essentially subrectangular, which tend to exclude this hypothesis (Fig. 7).

**Figure 6:** Maximum length—Mean length, mean width, the standard deviation and 95% confidence interval envelopes for measurements of the *Microrhabdulus undosus* group specimens along with the distribution histograms of three distinct morphospecies *M. undosus* (A and B), *Microrhabdulus* sp. nov. 1 (C) and *Microrhabdulus* sp. nov. 2 (D) across the Campanian-Maastrichtian time interval. The colored data points are pointing to the three distinct morphospecies.

Family **MICRORHABDULACEAE**
Deflandre, 1963

Genus *Microrhabdulus* Deflandre, 1959


Figure 4A-B, Figure 8A-L

**Figure 10C-D**

Remarks. All specimens measured in the present study were previously assigned to the species *M. undosus*. Morphological observations and measurements led us to redefine this group as a lineage that comprises three distinct species. From the detailed observations and biometric results obtained here,
we redefined *M. undosus* sensu stricto (s.s.) as comprising narrow and large specimens of *Microrhabdulus* with undulating, slightly triangular laths and a neat, straight extinction line in the center of the rod when observed under XPL (Fig. 4). The sister species *M. decoratus* exhibits rows of strictly parallel-sided and butting, well-aligned laths of the same dimension under the SEM with no empty space in-between distinct rows, and laths appearing as strictly rectangular under XPL with a straight extinction line in the center (Fig. 10A-B). Under the SEM, *M. undosus* s.s. exhibits less regular, non-strictly butting laths and visible open space in-between the rows that allows observation of a preceding inner layer of laths beneath the outer layer (Fig. 10C-D). The resulting appearance of this morphology under XPL appears to be a characteristic slightly triangular aspect of the individual laths but we note that, in contrast to the two new species defined below, the central extinction line remains straight in *M. undosus* s.s. (Fig. 10C-D). Under the SEM, the rose-thorn shape laths cannot be seen under the SEM probably because they are covered by the outer layer. The SEM observations show that *M. undosus* has a relatively more complex pattern than the regular pattern in *M. decoratus*, with rows that are not strictly butting each other and leaving some space in-between (Fig. 10). In the Late Cretaceous of Shahneshin (Zagros, Iran), narrow and smaller specimens with a width of the rod measuring between 1.2 and 1.9 µm (mean: 1.4 µm) and a maximum length of 20 µm dominate in the lower Campanian and to the top of upper Campanian Zone CC21 (UC15c-TP) (Figs. 3, 5 and 6). The uppermost Campanian specimens from Zone CC22 and Maastrichtian specimens are a bit wider, and vary in width between 1.4 and 2.1 µm (mean 1.8 µm) but have a maximum length of 21 µm, which is almost similar to narrower specimens (Figs 5, 6 and 8).

**Figure 7**: Selected *Cribrosphaerella ehrenbergii* specimens ordered from small to large forms.
Derivation of name. Referring to the Zagros basin from which the species is hereby described.

Diagnosis. A long, wide species of *Microrabdulus* with rose thorn-shaped laths with pronounced wavy patterns of the laths and of the central extinction line that starts to deviate from a straight line of the central axis, when observed in XPL (Fig. 4 and 9A-E). Under SEM, we noticed open spaces in between rows of laths as in *M. undosus* s.s., but more variations in the thickness of the individual laths with rows composed of thicker laths surrounding rows composed of thinner laths (Fig. 10F). Also, the width of the space between distinct rows can vary wavy pattern is because of a slight deviation in the position of the laths in each cycle compared to previous and next cycles. The shape of rose-thorn and the wavy patterns of the laths can not be seen under the SEM; however, there is a space left between two distinct upper/outer rows of laths and the width of this space varies (Fig. 10F-I).

Differentiation. This species greatly resembles *M. undosus* s.s., from which it originates but can be distinguished from the latter by the rose-thorn shape of the laths under XPL, *M. undosus* sensu stricto bearing triangular laths; and by the wavy pattern of the extinction line of the central axis (Fig. 9A-E). *M. undosus* sensu stricto bearing essentially straight central extinction lines. *Microrabdulus* sp. nov. *zagrosensis* differs from the newly defined *Microrabdulus* sp. nov. *sinuosus* by the lack of any additional rows of laths in the central axis and by a much narrower thorn shape of the rod. Under the SEM, the space left between two distinct upper/outer rows of laths is wider in *Microrabdulus* sp. nov. *zagrosensis* compared to *M. undosus* s.s. and is narrower compared to *Microrabdulus* sp. nov. *sinuosus* (Fig. 10).

In the Late Cretaceous of Shahneshin (Zagros, Iran), *Microrabdulus* sp. nov.1 has a maximum length of 21 µm and a width that varies between 1.4 and 2.8 µm (mean of 2 µm) (Fig. 6).

Holotype. Figure 4C and 9A (L= 8.6 µm, W= 1.8 µm).

Paratypes. Figure 9B, 9C, 9D and 9E.

Type locality. Shahneshin, central Zagros, Iran.

Type level. Sample ShG2518, 258 m, Upper Campanian (Zone CC23a/UC16a3q).

Occurrence. Shahneshin: First occurrence is recorded in the upper Campanian CC22 Zone (UC15d-e7p) and last occurrence at the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary.

*Microrabdulus sinuosus* sp. nov.2

Figures 4D, and 9G - 9L. Figure 10G - I.

Derivation of name. Referring to the pronounced sinuosity of the central axis.

Diagnosis. A very long, wide, thick species of *Microrabdulus* with rose thorn-shaped laths on the sides, much pronounced wavy patterns of the laths and bearing additional rows of laths that are visible in the central axis in XPL (Fig. 4 and 9G-L). As interpreted from our SEM observations, the strong wavy pattern of the laths under XPL is likely caused by the more complex undulating arrangement of the laths with simple individual laths that vary slightly in width, hence they are less strictly rectangular in shape. There are also large open spaces between distinct rows of laths that allow observation of an inner layer and we notice some laths showing distinct kinks and/or trapezoidal shapes (Fig. 10I), and additional rows of laths are because of the decrease in the position of the laths in each cycle compared to previous and next cycles. In the SEM observation, there are some wide spaces left between two distinct rows, and the laths composing the outer rows are not straight and have variable width (Fig. 10).

Differentiation. This species greatly resembles *M. undosus* s.s., but can be distinguished from the latter by the rose-thorn shape of the laths under XPL (*M. undosus* s.s. bearing triangular laths), by the much pronounced wavy pattern of the central axis (*M. undosus* s.s. bearing thorn shape of the laths), and by the strong wavy pattern of the extinction line.
bearing essentially straight extinction lines), and by the presence of additional rows of laths visible in the central axis (\textit{M. undosus} s.s. showing a simple extinction line in this axis). \textit{Microrhabdulus} sp. nov.\textsuperscript{2} \textit{sinuosus} differs from the newly defined \textit{Microrhabdulus} sp. nov.\textsuperscript{1} \textit{zagrosensis} by a slightly thicker width-diameter of the rod and quite decussate laths which cause the systematic presence of an additional row of laths in the central axis (Fig. 9G-L), feature that is absent in \textit{M. zagrosensis} (Fig. 9A-E). Under the SEM, the spaces between the two distinct rows of laths in \textit{Microrhabdulus} sp. nov.\textsuperscript{2} \textit{sinuosus} appear wider than those in \textit{M. undosus} and \textit{Microrhabdulus} sp. nov.\textsuperscript{1} \textit{zagrosensis}, and the laths composing the outer rows vary in width along the row, creating strong undulations that are likely responsible for the pronounced wavy patterns observed in XPL (Fig. 10). The maximum length of \textit{Microrhabdulus} sp. nov.\textsuperscript{2} \textit{sinuosus} has a maximum length of 27 \mu m and a width that varies between 2 and 3.2 \mu m (mean of 2.5 \mu m) (Fig. 6).

**Remarks.** Note that there is likely a continuum in the evolution from \textit{M. zagrosensis} to \textit{M. sinuosus} as expressed by the progressive Maastrichtian increase in the diameter of the rod delineated in our data as well as by the presence of rare transitional forms that show very slightly undulating median extinction lines as in \textit{M. zagrosensis} together with a faint additional row of laths in the center visible only in the upper third of the specimen (Fig. 9F).

**Holotype.** Figure 4D and 9G (L= 14.3 \mu m, W= 2.7 \mu m).

**Paratypes.** Figure 9H, 9I, 9J, 9K and 9L.

**Type locality.** Shahneshin, central Zagros, Iran.

**Type level.** sample ShG3273, 342 m, late Maastrichtian (Zone CC26a/UC20c\textsuperscript{29}).

**Occurrence.** Shahneshin; First occurrence is recorded in the upper part of early Maastrichtian CC25a subzone (top of UC19) and last occurrence at the Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary.
Figure 8: Selected small and narrow forms (A to F; before CC22 zone) and large and thick forms (G to L; after CC21 zone) of *Microrhabdulus undosus* in the Shahneshin section.
FIG. 9: The selected holotype (A) and paratypes (B to E) of *Microrhabdulus* sp. nov.1 *zagrosensis* and holotype (G) and paratypes (H to K) of *Microrhabdulus* sp. nov.2 *sinuosus*, in the Shahneshin section. Picture F also presents an intermediate form between *Microrhabdulus* sp. nov.1 and *Microrhabdulus* sp. nov.2, the two newly defined species.
Figure 10: The selected pictures of the same individuals of *Microrhabdulus* specimens observed under the light microscope (XPL) and SEM microscopes.
5.2. Did the late Campanian peak in nanoplankton diversity fueled by climate cooling? Possible causes of morphological change in calcareous nanoplankton

5.2.1. Lessons from the Meso-Cenozoic

Even though we cannot exclude that the observed shift in size of *C. ehrenbergii* reflects origination of a new, larger species that becomes common in the late Campanian-Maastrichtian (possibly *C. hilli*; see taxonomy in section 4.1), we notice that the change is relatively fast in the term of geologic time (less than 500 kyr. Supplementary Appendices 3 and 4, and Fig. 3), and the size length of *C. ehrenbergii* remains almost constant, stable around the same average before and after the shift (Fig. 3). Such a pattern is quite typical of Gould and Eldredge (1977) punctuated equilibrium model characterized by long periods of stasis punctuated by rapid shifts in morphology interpreted as evolutionary pulses. Such patterns are common in coccolithophores (Knappertsbusch, 2000; Ceiser et al., 2004). Remarkably, the timing of the shift in size from a mean of around 5.5 to 6.5 µm in *C. ehrenbergii* coincides with the first occurrence (FO) of *M. aerhabdaceae* sp. nov. 1 which also corresponds to a transient, rapid shift in morphology of the *M. undosus* group. The timing of this shift can be dated at around 76 Ma thanks to the first occurrences of *E. uniplanarius* (planktonic foraminifera) and *Uniplanarion trigonus* at around 250 m (Fig. 3). Moreover, the timing of this evolutionary pulse also coincides with a significant increase in the abundance of benthic foraminifera in the Shahneshin section that we are interpreted as a sea level low (Razmjooei et al., 2018). We cannot exclude that the apparent rapidity of this evolutionary pulse in both *C. ehrenbergii* and *M. undosus* group is due to a hiatus in nannofossil Zone CC22 associated with this sea level low. However, the coincidence of these morphological shifts in both lineages suggests a common external forcing on nanoplankton evolution. Important lessons for understanding coccolith size changes and their potential causes have been brought by the study of the three main Cenozoic genera of coccolithophores (*Reticulofenestra, Cyclarachnolithus* and *Coccolithus*), namely that coccolith size is strongly linearly correlated to coccosphere and cell diameter, and hence reflect changes in calcareous phytoplankton cell size (Henderiks, 2008). Out of these three genera, the Paleocene trend towards smaller reticulofenestrid cells across the Eocene to Miocene has been primarily interpreted as reflecting an adaptive response to increase aqueous CO\(_2\) limitation caused by a decrease in atmospheric pCO\(_2\) (Henderiks and Parra, 2008; Hannisdal et al., 2012). As such, these cell size changes appear to be linked to coccolithophore calcification and cell growth. However, other factors have been interpreted as potential controls on coccolith sizes and varying responses have been observed in distinct taxa. For instance, while the genus *Fomicus* sees a decrease in coccolith length interpreted as a response to a rise in temperature and pCO\(_2\) across the Paleocene/Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM), *Coccolithus* relaxus increases in size across that interval, which has been interpreted as a response to slowed cell division (O’Dea et al., 2014). Cyclic, rapid Pliocene changes in size of *Noelaeclhabacaeae* have been related to species radiation and pulses of extinction (Bendif et al., 2019), but also to orbital changes in insolation for the past 400 kyr, with enhanced seasonality at insolation highs favouring speciation, expressed in biometric data by a larger range of coccolith sizes with distinct small and large populations (Beaufort et al., 2021). Lessons from the Cenozoic hence tend to show that prominent, rapid coccolithophore size changes primarily reflect episodes of speciation favoured by climate change, but also possible long-term responses to changes in pCO\(_2\), via calcification or cell growth rate.

In contrast, biometric studies in the Mesozoic tend to show a wider variety of possible controls on calcareous phytoplankton size variations. Cyclic changes in size of Pliensbachian *Cylindricalithus tricuspus* have been related to an orbital control but the primary
causes evoked to explain these features are changes in water turbidity and nutrient recycling in the photic zone via orbitally-controlled storm intensity (Suchirás-Mars et al., 2010). Large Pliensbachian nanololith echinoporella size changes have been interpreted as variations in abundance of three distinct morphotypes as a response to variations in temperature and proximity to the coastline (Peti and Thibault, 2017, 2023) whereas the drop in size of this same taxon across the Toarcian Oceanic Anoxic Event has been interpreted as a response to a calcification crisis (Suan et al., 2010; Clémence et al., 2015; Faucher et al., 2021). Changes in size of Early to Middle Jurassic coccolith Lithurina has been stipulated that organisms evolve larger sizes under cold temperatures (Bergmann’s hypothesis, Ghiselin, 1972, p. 141). In parallel, another rule of evolution in organisms named the Cope-Bergmann rule stipulates that organisms evolve larger sizes under cold temperatures (Timofeev, 2001; Meiri and Dayan, 2003). This correlation between body size and temperature variations led to the idea of an integrated Cope-Bergmann hypothesis, suggesting that Cope’s rule may simply be an evolutionary manifestation of Bergmann’s rule (Hunt and Roy, 2006). The Cope-Bergmann hypothesis predicts that the size of organisms increase in relation to climatic cooling, and it was applied to micro-organisms such as foraminifera (Schmidt et al., 2004, Schmidt et al., 2016).

However, the Cope’s rule implies a number of causal factors to the increase of body size through time (such as endothermy and prey-predator relationships) that only applies to multicellular organisms with sexual reproduction (Hone and Benton, 2005). Moreover, a common character of the Cope’s rule is the observation that the general increase in body size operates at the level of higher clades such as classes and orders but not particularly at the level of lower clades down to the family, genera, and species (Novack-Gottshall and Lander, 2008). This is therefore in sharp contrast to unicellular calcareous phytoplankton for which we see a transient increase in cell size within lower clade levels as exemplified in many examples of the literature given above. Similarly, Bergmann’s rule has been essentially evoked in animal evolution, and causal factors of this rule involve temperature regulation as the primary adaptive mechanism for this rule is a decrease in the surface area to volume ratio, reducing heat loss in colder conditions (Timofeev, 2001; Meiri and Dayan, 2003). These implications make it difficult to apply this rule blindly to unicellular phytoplanktonic organisms that are essentially eurythermal.

Finally, although observations by Aubry et al. (2005) on the size of Mesozoic coccolithophorids are undeniable, a counter-example by the same prime author was given...
for the Neogene where most lineages of coccolithophorids underwent a general decrease in size in parallel to a long-term cooling trend, in strong contrast with the Cope-Bergmann hypothesis (Aubry, 2009).

The applicability of the Cope-Bergmann’s hypothesis to calcareous nannoplankton thus appears questionable, both due to the counter-examples occurring in various lineages of this group, and to the causal factors that this rule implies which operate at a higher level than the cell and at higher taxonomic ranks.

However, some analogy might be drawn in our understanding of how climate change affects biodiversity because, as recently demonstrated in the Quaternary, rapid phenotypic size changes in calcareous nannoplankton almost always imply speciation events, which appear to be related to significant climate changes (Bendell et al., 2019; Beaufort et al., 2021). However, a more general view. Moreover, direct causal factors that can be invoked for size variation in plankton may be indirectly related to temperature and, in fact, driven by other factors, like fertility and light availability. Since the density of cold water is lower than warm water, a probable explanation for the size variation in plankton through geological time could be changing in buoyancy (Eppley, 1967; Walsby and Reynolds, 1980). In cold periods, calcareous nannoplanktons may be able to compensate for some of the effects of increasing buoyancy by increasing their size and consequently their weight. This is especially the case for those phytoplankton that only can tolerate a specific range of light intensity, so the increasing size would allow them to sink to levels where light intensity is suitable (see Morgan and Kalf, 1979; Meeson and Sweene, 1982; Atkinson 1994). On this basis, those phytoplankton species that cannot adjust their size with buoyancy variation may become extinct, while those with wider light intensity tolerance may show no change in size.

5.3. Are morphological changes in C. ehrenbergii and M. undosus lineages related to climatically-controlled episodes of speciation?

If rapid phenotypic size changes observed in calcareous nannoplankton lineages often result from diversification, then short- and long-term climate and environmental changes likely operate as causal factors via biogeographical partitioning. A compilation of calcareous nannoplankton diversity through time (Bown et al., 2004) allowed Bown (2005) to suggest that Cretaceous nannoplankton diversification occurred during cold intervals, supported by increased paleobiogeographical partitioning of oceanic photic zone environments and the establishment of high-latitude provinces.

5.3.1. A possible relationship with the peak in global nannoplankton diversity at 76 Ma

We cannot exclude that the observed shift in size of C. ehrenbergii reflects origination of a new, larger species that became common in the late Campanian-Maastrichtian (possibly C. hilli, see taxonomy in section 4.1). We notice that this size change is relatively fast in the term of geologic time (less than 500 kyr, Supplementary Appendices 3 and 4, and Fig. 3), and the length of C. ehrenbergii remains almost constant around the same average after the shift (Fig. 3). Such a pattern is quite typical of Gould and Eldredge (1977) punctuated equilibrium model characterized by long periods of stasis punctuated by rapid shifts in morphology interpreted as evolutionary pulses. Such patterns are common in coccolithophorids (Knappertsbusch, 2000; Geisen et al., 2004). Remarkably, the timing of the shift in size from a mean of around 5.5 to 6.5 µm in C. ehrenbergii coincides with the first occurrence (FO) of Microrhaphidulus zigzagrosus which also corresponds to a transient, rapid shift in morphology of the M. undosus group. Microrhaphidulus rods resembles in many ways central processes observed in many coccolith lineages and bearing various morphologies. However, it has never been so far found attached to a Cretaceous coccolith and the origin of this nannolith lineage remains obscure. Hence, size changes related to M. undosus cannot be attributed with confidence to any putative changes in cell size of a fossil phytoplanktonic algal group. Rapid changes observed in this taxon can at best represent...
episodes of diversification within the lineage. The coincidence in the timing of the rapid increase in *C. ehrenbergii* and emergence of *M. zagrosensis* can be dated at around 76 Ma thanks to the first occurrences of *R. cf. R. calcarata* (planktonic foraminifera) and *Uniplanarius trifidus* at around 250 m (Fig. 3). Moreover, the timing of these events also coincides with a significant increase in the abundance of benthiic foraminifera in the Shubenskin section that was interpreted as a relative sea-level low (Razmjooei et al., 2018) and correlates precisely with a global sea-level low delineated in the Miller et al. (2005) sea-level estimates of New Jersey (details in Komar et al., 2008, figure 11). We cannot exclude that the apparent rapidity of what we interpret as an evolutionary pulse in both *C. ehrenbergii* and *M. undosus* group is due to a hiatus in nanofossil Zone NC23 associated with this sea-level low. Nevertheless, the coincidence of these morphological shifts in both lineages suggests a possible common external forcing on nanoplankton evolution.

Figure 2–11 summarizes the results obtained in our study, updates the phylogeny of *Microrhubutalus* through the Late Cretaceous and compares these evolutionary trends to (1) the recent TEX86 compilation of O’Brien et al. (2017), (2) the compilation of bulk carbonate carbon isotopes compiled drawn from the Late Cretaceous English chalk standard of Jarvis (2006) and the late Cretaceous-Maastrichtian Danish chalk (Thibault et al., 2012–2016), and (3) the work of Jarvis et al. (2006) global diversity of calcareous nanofossils. This figure supports a strong link between rises in the global diversity of nanoplankton and cooling intervals in the lower Cenomanian as well as in the Santonian to Maastrichtian. In particular, the peak of global diversity is reached at 76 Ma and corresponds broadly to an episode of acceleration of surface-water cooling in the late Campanian and to the so-called Late Campanian Event, a ca. 1 per mil negative carbon isotope excursion (Fig. 411). This timing corresponds exactly to that of the positive shift in the sizes of *C. ehrenbergii* and *M. undosus* and to the first occurrence of *Microrhubutalus sp. nov. Zagrosensis* (Figs 5 and 6).

We infer here that our observations illustrate an intimate link between climatic cooling, the Campanian carbon cycle and speciation, and strongly support that the Late Cretaceous nanoplankton peak in diversity was essentially fueled by cooling. The rise in diversity that appears to precede this interval centered around 76 Ma is most likely due to the wide banded average used to draw nanofossil global diversity. Both *C. ehrenbergii* and *M. undosus* group show a significant increase in relative abundance through the late Campanian to Maastrichtian interval and the onset of this increase coincides with the shift in morphology observed in both taxa at 76 Ma.

Moreover, in a more general view, the size variation may be understood related to temperature evolution in the Late Cretaceous. As the planktonic and light availability forcing the density of calcareous nanofossils seem to have made a remarkable improvement, the new conditions in planktonic through evolution could have been driven by buoyancy (Bjorlykke, 1987; Watkins and Romanelli, 1988). In cold-paleocean, calcareous nanofossils may be able to compensate for some of the effects of increasing buoyancy by increasing their size and consequently their density. This is especially true for those phytoankers that only can tolerate a specific range of light intensity, so the increasing seawater salinity allows them to sink to deeper water light intensity suitable (from Morgan and Kalf, 1979; Morgan and Crawford, 1982; Atkinson, 1994). On the basis, during the Late Cretaceous, those taxa with buoyancy correlation such as *C. ehrenbergii*, while those with wider light intensity tolerance may sink at lower intensity. The paleoecology of *M. undosus* is unclear, and its size essentially a cosmopolitan taxon (Linnert, 2003). Although *C. ehrenbergii* is also a cosmopolitan taxon (Thorsteinsson, 1991; Hindtjensen and Malineg, 1997; see 2000s), authors identify this species in having a greater affinity toward cool and cold surface temperatures (Wise, 1983; beige et al., 1988; Watkins, 1992; Chacko et al., 2002. 2005; Razmjooei et al., 2018), while others prioritized a cosmopolitan affinity to nutrient availability. For instance, Fröhlich et al. (2005) suggested that blooms of *C. ehrenbergii* could indicate increased surface-water productivity, while Linnert et al. (2011) inferred a lower nutrient affinity for this species.

It has been suggested that the increase in the abundance of *C. ehrenbergii*, which occurs together with the emergence of larger forms of this species, is either a response to the
cooling trend in the eastern Tethys as an artifact due to the sea-level drop or both (Razmjooei et al., 2020a). The sea-level fall in Zagros has been linked to a supra-regional phase of uplift throughout the basin (Razmjooei et al., 2018). Interestingly, on the other hand, the late Campanian acceleration of cooling and associated Late Campanian carbon isotope event around 76 Ma has been linked to tectonic uplift and formation of reliefs around the Tethys and enhancing atmospheric CO₂ consumption by increasing continental weathering and erosion (Chenot et al., 2016, 2018; Corentin et al., 2022). Such a supra-regional tectonic uplift associated with a global and sea-level low not only could have a prominent role in reducing global temperature but could also have a significant effect on the sea-surface water fertility and oceanic circulation in Tethys. In such a condition, a bigger cell size could have been advantageous in environments with high nutrient availability since as cell size increases, the surface-to-volume ratio decreases, and the ability to obtain nutrients and light decreases (e.g., Marañón, 2015). Hence, C. ehrenbergii, the fact that nutrient supply and light availability are regarded as two important crucial factors in controlling modern phytoplankton size structure (e.g., Marañón, 2015), the record of size changes in our study is difficult to not be explained by temperature alone.

Alihanshin. The transient increase in abundance of C. ehrenbergii together with the emergence of larger C. ehrenbergii and Microrhambulus sp., and Lowermost across the late Campanian to early Maastrichtian at Shahneshin matches well a late Campanian acceleration of cooling and early Maastrichtian with the cooling episode recorded Late Campanian Event (Fig. 11), and this episode which has been accompanied by might have triggered further but the interaction of modifications climate in the ocean circulation and structure, affecting the buoyancy of plankton, climate, and fertility level, and oceanic circulation. This interaction could have increased the persistent selection pressure on calcareous nanoplankton toward phenotypic divergence and provincionalism. The results of this work suggest that the peak in global nanoplankton diversity reached at 76 Ma could even be underestimated as very few of the numerous calcareous nanofossil lineages of the Campanian interval have been so far the object of consistent biometric studies.

![Figure 401](https://example.com/figure401.png) Schematic synthesis of data obtained in this study with a reconstructed phylogeny of the Microrhambulus lineage across the late Albian to Maastrichtian, and comparison with global changes in sea-surface temperatures from TEX86 (O’Brien et al., 2017), and global calcareous nanoplankton diversity (Bown et al., 2004), and a standard bulk carbonate carbon isotope curve compiled from the English chalk standard of Jarvis (2006) and the late Campanian-Maastrichtian curve of the Danish Basin from Thibault et al. (2012; 2016), down the late Albian to Maastrichtian. The phylogeny of Microrhambulus is based on Nannotax website (Young et al., 2017). Two distinct SST estimates derived from TEX86 are provided: (a) TEX86-SST calibration and (b) linear TEX86-SSP calibration (see O’Brien et al., 2017) for details on these calibrations as well as for symbols used in the figure to distinguish the different deep-sea sites and sections used in this compilation.
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5.3.2. *The emergence of* M. *sinuosus related to the mid-Maastrichtian originat*ion* event?*

One of the striking features of the Maastrichtian nannofossil zonal and subzonal schemes is a sudden shift from the near dominance of biohorizons represented by last occurrences in the early Maastrichtian to the sole presence of first occurrence biohorizons in the late Maastrichtian interval. Thibault (2016) discussed this feature and showed that at least for the South Atlantic, the mid-Maastrichtian, and to a lesser extent the late Maastrichtian, are characterized by several discrete origination events. Several nannofossil species including *Micula premitissima*, *Micula praemurus*, *Micula murus*, *Lithophidites quadratus*, *Ceratolithoides amplector* and *Ceratolithoides kampfneri* have been shown to first originate worldwide in the mid-Maastrichtian. This interval is also characterized by a significant increase in the size of the common genus *Arkhangelskiella* due to the massive occurrence of a large representative of this group (A. *maastrichtiensis* Burnett, 1997, syn. *A. cymbiformis* var. W., Varol, 1989; Thibault, 2010). The 2 myr-long bins of the estimated nannoplankton global diversity curve somewhat prevent the distinction of this event in the global compilation, but a clear increase in origination rate and diversity of nannofossils has been reported at the same time interval in the southern high latitudes and in the South Atlantic (Huber and Watkins, 1992; Thibault, 2016). This trend is also observed in planktonic foraminifera in the areas mentioned above as well as at low and middle latitudes (Boersma and Schackleton, 1981; Boersma, 1984; Caron, 1985; Huber, 1990, 1992; Li and Keller, 1998). Interestingly, the rise in the diversity of planktonic organisms appears to be paralleled by potential diversity increases in cephalopods (southwestern France-northern Spain, (Ward et al., 1991); northwest Pacific, (Jagt Yazykova, 2011, 2012); Mexico, (Ifrim et al., 2004, 2010); Seymour Island, Antarctica, (Watts et al., 2015)) and bivalves (Seymour Island, Antarctica, (Macelleri, 1983)), though non-regulated inoceramids and rudists suffered a contrasting significant decline during the same interval (Ward, 1990; MacLeod and Ward, 1990; MacLeod, 1994; Johnson and Kaufman, 1996). Such an increase in biodiversity among independent lineages and clades reduces the plausibility of a the taphonomical bias code in the record. Overall, this event is spread across the early late Maastrichtian boundary interval over ca. 2 myr and spans the two transitions from the early Maastrichtian cooling event to the mid-Maastrichtian warming, and the mid-Maastrichtian warming to the late Maastrichtian cooling (Thibault, 2016). The first occurrence of *Microhhabdulus micula* *sinuosus* at around 69.25 Ma is therefore inscribed into this general mid-Maastrichtian diversification event.

Interestingly, the late Campanian-early Maastrichtian interval is marked by a pronounced cooling episode, which could have been responsible for the extinction of a number of calcareous nannofossil species (Thibault, 2016; Razmjooei et al., 2020). Therefore, contrary to the late Campanian *episode of cooling*, transient cooling across the large amplitude (close to 7ºC) cooling of the Campanian/Maastrichtian transition appears to have been essentially deleterious to global nannoplankton diversity. The widespread episode of speciation in mid-Maastrichtian planktonic organisms does not coincide with climatic cooling but rather with higher *climate instability* when global climate rapidly shifted from cool to warmer temperatures to cool again, from 69 to 67 Ma (Li and Keller, 1998; Thibault et al., 2016). Thus, the processes at play here differ from the case of the late Campanian and may be better explained by the conjunction of globally cooler temperatures with *climate instability* (Fig 11). The cooler temperatures of the Late Cretaceous could have fostered a rapid evolution in many lineages by quickly changing the direction of selection. In contrast, the *climate instability* of the mid-Maastrichtian may have enhanced climatic heterogeneity, and hence geographical heterogeneities and provincialism triggered phenotypic divergence in nannoplankton and many other marine groups.

5.4. *Following the Examination of the applicability of Cope’s and Bergmann’s rules for calcareous nannoplankton*
The Cope’s rule postulates that “evolution proceeds in the direction of increasing body size” (Ghiselin, 1972, p. 111). A number of previous macrofossil specialists have mentioned the Cope’s rule to explain the increase in the size of nannoplankton through time (Aubry et al., 2005; López-Olivero et al., 2012; Ferreira et al., 2017; Gollaina et al., 2019). Although this rule applies to entire organisms and not the body parts, it is still relevant for these microorganisms—nannoplankton—whose size increases should generally correlate with an increase in cell size (Aubry et al., 2005; Henderiks and Pagani, 2001). However, although we do agree with the observed pattern, we disagree with the term used to design these patterns. The Cope’s rule implies a number of causal factors to the increase of body size through time that only applies to multicellular organisms with sexual reproduction. Therefore, it is inappropriate for unicellular organisms such as nannoplankton. Moreover, a common characteristic of the Cope’s rule is the observation that the general increase in body size operates at the level of higher clades such as classes and orders but not necessarily at the level of lower clades down to the family, genera, and species (Novacek, Gottshall and Janier, 2008). This is in strong contrast to nannoplankton for which we see a transient increase in cell size within lower clad levels, such as our example herein, of Microrhabdulus or within the Late Cretaceous Archaeocyathellidae genus (Lanier and Mutterlose, 1996; Thibault, 2010). Even if the rule of cell size increase in lineages through time is indeed an undeniable observation in nannoplankton, it should rather be called something else than the Cope’s rule, and we propose here to call it the Aubry–Henderiks rule, as both researchers have shown this trend independently in the Mesozoic and Cenozoic (Aubry et al., 2005; Henderiks, 2008).

It is often assumed that morphological changes occurring in climate change are adaptive, microevolutionary responses, allowing species to better cope with the ongoing climate change. Temperature is thought to have remarkable influence on the biological processes of the evolutionary system including body size, productivity and metabolic rates (Haven, 2006; Garden et al., 2011; Clavel and Morlon, 2017). Aubry et al. (2005) have already demonstrated that long-term trends in the Mesozoic compiled size of coccoliths parallel the global diversity trends with a progressive increase from the early Jurassic through the Santonian, stabilization in the Campanian and decrease during the Maastrichtian. As for an increase in diversity boosted by either long-term cooling/mild climate instability favoring phenotypic divergence, this observation resembles very much another rule of evolution named Bergman’s rule, which stipulates that organisms evolve larger sizes under cold temperatures (Timmermans, 2001; Moctezuma, 2003). However, Bergmann’s rule evolved in animal evolution was essentially used for mammals and involves endothermy. The primary adaptive mechanism for Bergmann’s rule is a decrease in the surface area to volume ratio, reducing heat loss in colder conditions. Therefore, it is once again a term that is inappropriate to unicellular organisms which are anthermal. These increase in diversity that we input to phenotypic divergence and provability was likely favored in the case of nannoplankton by their ability to be rapidly dispersed toward new geographic areas, as well as their ability to adapt to new environmental situations with different biogeochemical and light availability. Hence, larger sizes in nannoplankton were reached in the Cretaceous under colder temperatures, following the Bergmann’s rule which stipulates that organisms evolve larger sizes under cold temperatures (Timmermans, 2001; Moctezuma, 2003). Trends observed here in the M. undosus group represent a relevant illustration of the Cope’s and Bergmann’s rules that apply here at the species level, with the emergence of two new species in the cool late Campanian–Maastrichtian climate, consecutively characterized by an increase in length and width. In animal evolution, Clavel and Morlon (2017) recently demonstrated that the Cenozoic body size evolutionary rates in birds and mammals were primarily driven by past climate, with higher rates observed in most lineages during periods of cold climates. This finding appears in contrast with the widely accepted ideas that the rates of molecular evolution are higher at higher temperatures (Gillooly et al., 2005; Wright et al., 2006), that stronger biotic interactions in warm and stable environments spur phenotypic evolution (Fronse, 2005; Mobach et al., 2007), and that the warmer climate provide the energetic foundation for higher divergence (Lawson and Weir, 2014) (Clavel and Morlon, 2017). However, colder climates are suggested to foster higher rates of phenotypic evolution by enhancing geographical climatic heterogeneities that drive stronger climatic niche divergence (Lawson and Weir, 2014).
The correlation between body size and temperature variations led to the idea of an integrated hypothesis called Cope-Bergmann hypothesis suggesting that Cope’s rule may be an evolutionary manifestation of Bergmann’s rule (Hunt and Roy, 2006). This hypothesis is verified in Cenozoic planktonic foraminifera (Schmidt et al., 2003; 2006) and thrombolites (Hunt and Roy, 2005). But, an exception is recorded in Cretaceous conodonts that underwent a general size decrease during the Neocomian cooling (Ashe, 2002). However, this hypothesis also appears to apply to for the nannoplanktonic assemblages at Late Cretaceous nannoplankton time (Ashe et al., 2004).

Lamont and Mutterlose, 2000; Thibault, 2010; Gillain et al., 2019. Even though a greenhouse mode favored the build-up in the Mesozoic global nannoplankton diversity, high sea levels, large immersed continental platforms, and relatively arid climates that limited nutrient input and favored wide oligotrophic areas, but the global peaks in their diversity were actually achieved through climatic cooling and the maximum sizes were reached under cooler temperatures. Our results are consistent with the above-mentioned hypothesis and show that the nannoplankton’s evolution towards large body size during the Late Cretaceous is not a constant tendency but rather is pronounced and punctuated only during climatic-cooling intervals and/or higher climatic instability. Following an analogy of both Cope’s and Bergmann’s rules.

6. Conclusions
Changes in morphology of two Late Cretaceous nannofossil lineages have been investigated here in deposits from the Zagros basin (Iran). A common event occurs at c. 76 Ma in the late Campanian with a sudden significant increase in the mean length of C. ehrenbergi and in the mean width as well as a change in the shape of M. undosus that led us to define the emergence of a new species Microhabdulus sp. nov. (Aubry, 2007). This new species is described here since it is zooplankton and not protista and is still relevant to mention it here since it is zooplankton and, of course, ectotherm.
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